

# DRINKING WATER SOURCE PROTECTION

ACT FOR CLEAN WATER

Thames-Sydenham and Region Source Protection Committee  
*Upper Thames River Source Protection Area*

Amended Proposed  
**Assessment Report**

Revised - August 12, 2011

**APPROVED**

*7.0 Threats and Risk Assessment - Water Quality*



Ontario

Made possible through the support  
of the Government of Ontario





Thames - Sydenham and Region  
Upper Thames River Conservation Authority  
1424 Clarke Road, London, ON, N5V 5B9

July 4, 2011

Dear reader

Re: **Upper Thames River Amended Proposed Assessment Report posted for comments**

The Thames-Sydenham and Region Source Protection Committee has posted the enclosed report for review and comment by stakeholders. This report includes updates and amendments to the Proposed Assessment Report for the Upper Thames River Source Protection Authority. Comments received through the first posting (draft proposed report) were considered by the Source Protection Committee and the report has been revised. Comments received in response to the second posting were submitted with the Proposed Assessment Report to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE).

Based on directions from the Director of Source Protection Programs Branch, MOE, updates and amendments were made to the Proposed Assessment Report to include further technical work conducted. These include the Tier 2 water budget drought scenario analysis, the delineation, vulnerability assessment and threats assessment of WHPA-E, and threats assessment of sewer line threats. The updates and amendments made are summarized in Appendix 4. This version of the Assessment Report once approved will be used by the Source Protection Committee for the development of the Source Protection Plan which is to be submitted to the MOE in August 2012.

The Amended Proposed Assessment Report for the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area represents a significant milestone in the Source Protection Committee's progress in the completion of the first Source Protection Plans for the Thames-Sydenham and Region. The Source Protection Committee realizes that this report is a "living document" which may be updated as more information becomes available in the future.

We hope that you have had an opportunity to attend previous open houses that were held throughout the region, and plan to attend the open houses to be held in July 2011. More information on this assessment report and the past and current consultation is available on the web site given at the bottom of this letter.

Yours truly,  
THAMES-SYDENHAM and REGION

Robert Bedgood, Chair  
Source Protection Committee

Lower Thames Valley Conservation Authority  
100 Thames Street, Chatham, Ontario,  
N7L 2Y8

phone 519-354-7310, fax 519-352-3435

St. Clair Region Conservation Authority  
205 Mill Pond Cres., Strathroy, Ontario,  
N7G 3P9

phone 519-245-3710, fax. 519-245-3348

Upper Thames River Conservation Authority  
1424 Clarke Road, London, ON  
N5V 5B9

phone 519-451-2800, fax 519-451-1188

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

## Table of Contents

Appendices.....	iv
List of Figures.....	iv
List of Maps.....	iv
List of Tables.....	vii
<b>1.0 Introduction and Background.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Document Overview.....	1-2
1.2 Clean Water Act Rules and Regulations.....	1-5
1.2.1 Regulations.....	1-5
1.2.2 Technical Rules.....	1-6
1.2.3 Local Guidance Documents.....	1-6
1.2.4 Tables of Drinking Water Threats.....	1-6
1.2.5 Mapping Symbology.....	1-7
1.2.6 Source Protection Plan.....	1-7
1.3 Source Protection Committee.....	1-8
1.4 Role of the Conservation Authorities.....	1-10
1.5 Terms of Reference.....	1-11
1.6 Thames-Sydenham and Region Source Protection Region.....	1-12
1.6.1 Upper Thames River Source Protection Area.....	1-12
1.7 Technical Studies.....	1-13
1.8 Consultation.....	1-14
1.9 Schedule.....	1-16
1.10 Local Acceptance, Approvals and Next Steps.....	1-17
1.10.1 Engaging First Nations.....	1-19
1.10.2 Amendments to the Assessment Report.....	1-20
<b>2.0 Watershed Characterization.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Watershed Characterization Report.....	2-1
2.2 Data Sources.....	2-2
2.3 Components of the Watershed Characterization Report.....	2-4
2.3.1 Watersheds and Subwatersheds.....	2-4
2.3.2 Physical Geography.....	2-4
2.3.3 Human Geography.....	2-14
2.3.4 Water Quality.....	2-18
2.3.5 Water Quantity.....	2-22
2.3.6 Drinking Water Systems.....	2-22
2.4 Data Gaps.....	2-28
<b>3.0 Water Budget and Water Quantity Stress Assessment.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 What is a Water Budget?.....	3-2
3.2 Components of the Water Budget.....	3-3
3.2.1 Precipitation.....	3-3
3.2.2 Evapotranspiration.....	3-3
3.2.3 Surface Runoff.....	3-4
3.2.4 Recharge.....	3-4
3.2.5 Water Use (Demand).....	3-4
3.2.6 Water Budget Summary.....	3-9

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

3.3	Phases of Water Budget Work .....	3-10
3.3.1	Conceptual Water Budget .....	3-10
3.3.2	Tier 1 Water Budget .....	3-11
3.3.3	Tier 2 Water Budget .....	3-12
3.3.4	Tier 3 Water Budget .....	3-13
3.3.5	Peer Review of the Water Budget .....	3-13
3.4	Water Quantity Stress Assessment.....	3-14
3.4.1	Uncertainty in the Stress Assessment.....	3-21
3.5	Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas .....	3-22
3.6	Data Gaps and Next Steps.....	3-24
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Vulnerability Assessment.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Peer Review of Vulnerability Assessment.....	4-2
4.2	Intake Protection Zones .....	4-3
4.3	Wellhead Protection Areas .....	4-3
4.3.1	Technical Studies .....	4-4
4.3.2	WHPA-A .....	4-7
4.3.3	WHPA-B, WHPA-C and WHPA-D .....	4-7
4.3.4	WHPA-E and WHPA-F .....	4-7
4.3.5	Vulnerability Assessment of the WHPA.....	4-23
4.3.6	Uncertainty in WHPA Vulnerability .....	4-47
4.4	Highly Vulnerable Aquifers .....	4-48
4.4.1	Uncertainty of HVA .....	4-52
4.5	Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas .....	4-52
4.5.1	Uncertainty of SGRA .....	4-54
4.6	Data Gaps and Next Steps.....	4-54
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Issues Evaluation .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	What is a Drinking Water Quality Issue? .....	5-1
5.2	Impact of Identifying an Issue.....	5-6
5.3	Issue Evaluation Methodology .....	5-6
5.4	Issues Evaluation Technical Studies .....	5-9
5.5	Identified Issues .....	5-10
5.6	Work Plan.....	5-14
5.7	Data Gaps .....	5-14
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Conditions Assessment .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Conditions Assessment Methodology .....	6-3
6.1.1	Situations Where Conditions May Exist.....	6-3
6.1.2	Information Used to Identify Conditions.....	6-4
6.1.3	Risk Assessment Methodology for Conditions .....	6-5
6.2	Conditions Assessment Findings .....	6-7
6.3	Data Gaps and Next Steps for Conditions .....	6-8
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Threats and Risk Assessment – Water Quality .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	Drinking Water Quality Threat Identification and Risk Assessment Methodology.....	7-3
7.1.1	Prescribed Drinking Water Threats .....	7-4
7.1.2	Other Activities .....	7-14
7.1.3	Threats Arising from Conditions .....	7-14
7.1.4	Threats Arising from Issues.....	7-15

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

7.1.5	Local Guidance and Technical Studies .....	7-16
7.2	Drinking Water Quality Threats and Risk Assessment.....	7-17
7.2.1	Threats Identified through Mapping of Impervious Surfaces, Managed Lands and Livestock Density .....	7-18
7.2.2	Number of Locations of Significant Threats.....	7-18
7.2.3	Threats in Birr Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-22
7.2.4	Threats in Dorchester Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-22
7.2.5	Threats in Kilworth-Komoka Wellhead Protection Areas – WELLS DECOMMISSIONED .....	7-23
7.2.6	Threats in City of London Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-24
7.2.7	Threats in Melrose Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-25
7.2.8	Threats in Thorndale Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-25
7.2.9	Threats in Beachville Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-26
7.2.10	Threats in Embro Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-27
7.2.11	Threats in Hickson Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-28
7.2.12	Threats in Ingersoll Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-28
7.2.13	Threats in Innerkip Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-29
7.2.14	Threats in Lakeside Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-30
7.2.15	Threats in Mount Elgin Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-31
7.2.16	Threats in Tavistock Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-31
7.2.17	Threats in Thamesford Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-32
7.2.18	Threats in Woodstock Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-33
7.2.19	Threats in Mitchell Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-34
7.2.20	Threats in Sebringville Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-35
7.2.21	Threats in Shakespeare Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-36
7.2.22	Threats in St. Marys Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-37
7.2.23	Threats in St. Pauls Wellhead Protection Areas.....	7-38
7.2.24	Threats in Stratford Wellhead Protection Areas .....	7-38
7.2.25	Threats in HVA and SGRA.....	7-39
7.3	Tier 2 Risk Assessment.....	7-40
7.4	Data Gaps .....	7-40
<b>8.0</b>	<b>Great Lakes.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1	Impact of Considering Great Lakes.....	8-2
8.2	Great Lakes Agreements .....	8-3
8.2.1	Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement.....	8-3
8.2.2	The Canada-Ontario Agreement Respecting the Great Lakes Basin Ecosystem ..	8-5
8.2.3	The Great Lakes Charter and the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence River Basin Sustainable Water Resources Agreement.....	8-6
8.3	Proposed Working Groups .....	8-7
8.4	Next Steps for Great Lakes .....	8-8
<b>9.0</b>	<b>Data Gaps and Next Steps.....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
9.1	Data Gaps .....	9-1
9.2	Next Steps.....	9-4

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

## **Appendices**

- Appendix 1 – Maps (bound separately)
- Appendix 2 – Section Summaries (bound separately)
- Appendix 3 – System Summaries (bound separately)
- Appendix 4 – Assessment Report Consultation
- Appendix 5 – Watershed Characterization Summary (bound separately)
- Appendix 6 – Conceptual Water Budget (bound separately)
- Appendix 7 – Assessment Report Checklist
- Appendix 8 – Issues Evaluation Methodology
- Appendix 9 – Issues Evaluation Flagged Parameters
- Appendix 10 – Threats and Risk Assessment
- Appendix 11 – Glossary of Terms and Acronyms
- Appendix 12 – References
- Appendix 13 – Uncertainty Analysis

## **List of Figures**

- Figure 1-1 Source Protection planning schedule overview .....1-17
- Figure 5-1 Thames-Sydenham and Region Issues Evaluation Methodology .....5-8

## **List of Maps**

- 1-1 Thames-Sydenham and Region Source Protection Region
- 1-2 Upper Thames River Source Protection Area
- 1-3 Municipal Drinking Water Systems
- 1-4 Areas of Settlement
- 2-1 Population Density
- 2-2 Federal Lands
- 3-1 Thames-Sydenham & Region Tier 1 Water Budget Subwatersheds
- 3-2 Average Precipitation Distribution
- 3-3 Average Evapotranspiration Distribution
- 3-4 Mean Annual Recharge
- 3-5 Surface Water Potential for Stress
- 3-6 Groundwater Potential for Stress
- 4-1 Vulnerability Overview of Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
  - 4-1-1 Birr Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
  - 4-1-2 Dorchester Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
  - 4-1-2a Dorchester Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA-E)
  - 4-1-3 Kilworth-Komoka Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA) - DECOMMISSIONED
  - 4-1-4 London – Fanshawe Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
  - 4-1-4a London – Fanshawe Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA-E)
  - 4-1-5 London – Hyde Park Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
  - 4-1-6 Melrose Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
  - 4-1-7 Thorndale Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

- 4-1-8 Beachville Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-9 Embro Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-10 Hickson Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-11 Ingersoll Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-12 Innerkip Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-13 Lakeside Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-14 Mount Elgin Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-15 Tavistock Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-16 Thamesford Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-16a Thamesford Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA-E)
- 4-1-17 Woodstock Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-17a Woodstock Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA-E)
- 4-1-18 Mitchell Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-19 Sebringville Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-20 Shakespeare Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-21 St. Marys Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-21a St. Marys Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA-E)
- 4-1-22 St. Pauls Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-1-23 Stratford Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)
- 4-2-1 Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas
- 4-2-2 Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas (SGRA) Vulnerability
- 4-3-1 Aquifer Vulnerability
- 4-3-2 Highly Vulnerable Aquifers (HVA)
- 7-1-1 Birr Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-2 Dorchester Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-3 Kilworth-Komoka Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas - DECOMMISSIONED
- 7-1-4 London – Fanshawe Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-5 London – Hyde Park Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-6 Melrose Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-7 Thorndale Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-8 Beachville Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-9 Embro Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-10 Hickson Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-11 Ingersoll Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-12 Innerkip Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-13 Lakeside Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-14 Mount Elgin Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-15 Tavistock Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-16 Thamesford Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-17 Woodstock Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-18 Mitchell Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-19 Sebringville Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-20 Shakespeare Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-21 St. Marys Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-22 St. Pauls Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-23 Stratford Drinking Water Threats Impervious Surface Areas
- 7-1-24 Impervious Surface Area in Highly Vulnerable Aquifers (HVA)
- 7-1-25 Impervious Surface Area in Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas (SGRA)
- 7-2-1 Birr Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-2 Dorchester Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-3 Kilworth-Komoka Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density - DECOMMISSIONED
- 7-2-4 London – Fanshawe Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

- 7-2-5 London – Hyde Park Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-6 Melrose Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-7 Thorndale Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-8 Beachville Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-9 Embro Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-10 Hickson Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-11 Ingersoll Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-12 Innerkip Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-13 Lakeside Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-14 Mount Elgin Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-15 Tavistock Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-16 Thamesford Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-17 Woodstock Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-18 Mitchell Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-19 Sebringville Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-20 Shakespeare Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-21 St. Marys Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-22 St. Pauls Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-23 Stratford Drinking Water Threats Managed Lands and Livestock Density
- 7-2-24 Percent Managed Lands in Highly Vulnerable Aquifers (HVA)
- 7-2-25 Livestock Density in Highly Vulnerable Aquifers (HVA)
- 7-2-26 Percent Managed Lands in Significant Groundwater Recharge Area (SGRA) with Vulnerability Score = 6
- 7-2-27 Livestock Density in Significant Groundwater Recharge Area (SGRA) with Vulnerability Score = 6
- 7-3-1 Birr Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-2 Dorchester Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-2a Dorchester (WHPA-E) Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-3 Kilworth-Komoka Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats - DECOMMISSIONED
- 7-3-4 London – Fanshawe Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-4a London – Fanshawe (WHPA-E) Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-5 London – Hyde Park Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-6 Melrose Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-7 Thorndale Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-8 Beachville Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-9 Embro Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-10 Hickson Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-11 Ingersoll Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-12 Innerkip Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

- 7-3-13 Lakeside Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-14 Mount Elgin Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-15 Tavistock Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-16 Thamesford Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-16a Thamesford (WHPA-E) Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-17 Woodstock Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-17a Woodstock (WHPA-E) Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-18 Mitchell Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-19 Sebringville Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-20 Shakespeare Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-21 St. Marys Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-21a St. Marys (WHPA-E) Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-22 St. Pauls Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats
- 7-3-23 Stratford Areas Where Activities Related to Pathogens, Chemicals or DNAPL are or would be Drinking Water Threats

## **List of Tables**

Table 1-1 SPC members and representation.....	1-9
Table 1-2 SPC Mission Statement and Guiding Principles .....	1-10
Table 1-3 Municipalities in the UTRSPA .....	1-12
Table 1-4 Summary of planned UTRSPA Assessment Report Consultation .....	1-16
Table 1-5 First Nations of the TSR SPR .....	1-19
Table 2-1 Watershed Characterization Report Data Sources .....	2-2
Table 2-2 Habitat and Temperature Assessments in the UTRSPA .....	2-9
Table 2-3 Population Density of Municipalities in the Upper Thames River SPA Watershed ..	2-14
Table 2-4 Municipal Drinking Water Systems Serving the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area .....	2-24
Table 2-5 Watershed Characterization Data Gaps relevant to the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area .....	2-29
Table 3-1 Groundwater use in the UTRSPA (m <sup>3</sup> /day).....	3-7
Table 3-2 Surface Water use in the UTRSPA (m <sup>3</sup> /day)† .....	3-8
Table 3-3 Tier 2 water budget summary (m <sup>3</sup> /day).....	3-10
Table 3-4 Potential for stress based on <i>percent water demand</i> under current and future municipal water demand .....	3-17

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

Table 3-5 Surface water potential for stress based on Tier 1 stress assessment (Month of August).....	3-18
Table 3-6 Groundwater potential for stress (Average Annual Conditions).....	3-18
Table 3-7 Groundwater potential for stress (Maximum Monthly Conditions) .....	3-19
Table 3-8 Data gaps related to Water Budget and Water Quantity Stress Assessment.....	3-24
Table 4-1 Summary of Technical Reports for UTR Municipal Systems .....	4-5
Table 4-2 Thames-Sydenham and Region GUDI wells .....	4-8
Table 4-3 WHPA vulnerability scoring (Technical Rules Table 2 a and b).....	4-41
Table 4-4 List of Groundwater Wells and Vulnerability Scores for WHPA-A, B, C, D.....	4-41
Table 4-5 List of GUDI Wells and Vulnerability Scores for WHPA-E .....	4-43
Table 4-6 Vulnerability Assessment Data Gaps Relevant to the Upper Thames River SPA ...	4-54
Table 5-1 Schedule 1 Parameters (from O. Reg. 169/03 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002) and their Treated Drinking Water Quality Standards .....	5-2
Table 5-2 Schedule 2 Parameters (O. Reg. 169/03 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002) and their Treated Drinking Water Quality Standards .....	5-3
Table 5-3 Schedule 3 Parameters (O. Reg. 169/03 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002) and their Treated Drinking Water Quality Standards .....	5-4
Table 5-4 Table 4 Parameters (from the Technical Support Document for the Ontario Drinking Water Standards, Objectives and Guidelines, MOE 2006) with their Treated Drinking Water Aesthetic Objectives and Operational Guidelines.....	5-5
Table 5-5 Technical Studies on Drinking Water Quality Issues Evaluation .....	5-9
Table 5-6 Drinking Water Quality Issues Identified in the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area.....	5-11
Table 5-7 Determination of Sources of an Issue.....	5-15
Table 6-1 Technical Studies on Drinking Water Threats and Risk Assessment.....	6-2
Table 6-2 Threat Level Determination for Conditions .....	6-6
Table 7-1 Technical Studies on Drinking Water Threats and Risk Assessment.....	7-2
Table 7-2 Activities Prescribed as Drinking Water Threats.....	7-4
Table 7-3 Threat Level Determination.....	7-6
Table 7-4 Chemical Hazard Scorings for Various Combinations of Percentage of Managed Lands and Livestock Densities.....	7-12
Table 7-5 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in Middlesex County and City of London.....	7-19
Table 7-6 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in Oxford County .....	7-20
Table 7-7 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in Perth County, City of Stratford and Town of St. Marys .....	7-21
Table 7-8 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in HVA and SGRA.....	7-21
Table 7-9 Significant Threats in the Birr WHPA.....	7-22
Table 7-10 Significant Threats in the Dorchester WHPA.....	7-23
Table 7-11 Significant Threats in the Kilworth-Komoka WHPA - WELLS DECOMMISSIONED 7-23	
Table 7-12 Significant Threats in the City of London-Fanshawe WHPA.....	7-24
Table 7-13 Significant Threats in the City of London-Hyde Park WHPA .....	7-24
Table 7-14 Significant Threats in the Melrose WHPA.....	7-25
Table 7-15 Significant Threats in the Thorndale WHPA .....	7-26
Table 7-16 Significant Threats in the Beachville WHPA .....	7-27
Table 7-17 Significant Threats in the Embro WHPA.....	7-27
Table 7-18 Significant Threats in the Hickson WHPA.....	7-28

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

Table 7-19	Significant Threats in the Ingersoll WHPA .....	7-29
Table 7-20	Significant Threats in the Innerkip WHPA.....	7-30
Table 7-21	Significant Threats in the Lakeside WHPA .....	7-30
Table 7-22	Significant Threats in the Mount Elgin WHPA.....	7-31
Table 7-23	Significant Threats in the Tavistock WHPA .....	7-32
Table 7-24	Significant Threats in the Thamesford WHPA .....	7-33
Table 7-25	Significant Threats in the Woodstock WHPA (Urban well system) .....	7-34
Table 7-26	Significant Threats in the Woodstock WHPA (Rural well system) .....	7-34
Table 7-27	Significant Threats in the Mitchell WHPA .....	7-35
Table 7-28	Significant Threats in the Sebringville WHPA .....	7-36
Table 7-29	Significant Threats in the Shakespeare WHPA .....	7-36
Table 7-30	Significant Threats in the St. Marys WHPA .....	7-37
Table 7-31	Significant Threats in the St. Pauls WHPA .....	7-38
Table 7-32	Significant Threats in the Stratford WHPA.....	7-39
Table 7-33	Levels of Threats Related to Pathogens, Chemicals and DNAPLs in HVAs and SGRAs .....	7-40
Table 9-1	Work Plan to fill Data and Analysis Gaps .....	9-2

## 7.0 Threats and Risk Assessment – Water Quality

In order to protect drinking water sources, it is necessary to identify the *activities* within *vulnerable areas* that pose a *threat* to drinking water sources. It is also necessary to assess the *risks* due to the identified *threats*. This section describes the *threats* and *risk* assessment work pertaining to water quality, conducted in the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. The *risk* associated with water quantity *threats* is considered in Section 3 - Water Budget and Water Quantity Stress Assessment of the Assessment Report.

A drinking water *threat* is an “*activity* or *condition* that adversely affects or has the potential to adversely affect the quality or quantity of any water that is or may be used as source of drinking water” (Clean Water Act, 2006). *Risk* Assessment is the process of assessing the *threats* to determine their relative *risk* to the drinking water source. It considers the vulnerability of the area that the *activity* is being undertaken in. It also considers the hazard associated with the *activity*.

Following the completion of the Assessment Report, a *Source Protection Plan* must be developed by the Source Protection Committee. The focus of the *Source Protection Plan* is to reduce *risks* to drinking water sources by managing the *threats* causing those *risks*. The *Source Protection Plan* will contain policies focused on *activities* which are identified as *threats* within the *vulnerable areas*. Hence, the identification of the *threats* and the assessment of *risks* due to the *threats* are key to the development of the Source Protection Plan. Further, the *Source Protection Plan* must mitigate those *risks* to drinking water sources that are deemed to be *significant*. The policies related to *significant threats* are mandatory and must be implemented. Source protection policies may include incentive programs, education and outreach, new or amended provincial instruments, and *risk* management plans.

The *Threats* and *Risk* Assessment studies involved the operating authorities of the drinking water systems and were undertaken through partnerships involving the Conservation Authorities

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

in the region. Dillon Consulting Ltd., Schlumberger Water Services and the County of Oxford completed the *threats* and *risk* assessment work for the groundwater systems in the Upper Thames River SPA.

The technical reports for the above described studies are listed in Table 7-1 below:

**Table 7-1 Technical Studies on Drinking Water Threats and Risk Assessment**

<b>Drinking Water Systems</b>	<b>Technical Study on Threats and Risk Assessment</b>
City of London back up wells (Fanshawe and Hyde Park wellfields), Birr, Melrose, Dorchester and Thorndale	London, Middlesex Centre and Thames Centre Wellfield Source Protection Study. Water Quality Threats and Risk Assessment Final Report. June 4, 2010. Dillon Consulting Limited.
Embro, Lakeside and Tavistock	Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. Embro, Lakeside and Tavistock Well Systems Threats Assessment. March 31, 2011. County of Oxford.
Ingersoll	Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. Ingersoll Well Systems Threats Assessment. March 31, 2011. County of Oxford.
Beachville, Hickson, Innerkip, Thamesford	Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. Beachville, Hickson, Innerkip and Thamesford Well Systems Threats Assessment. March 31, 2011. County of Oxford.
Woodstock (urban wellfield)	Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. Woodstock - Urban Well Systems Threats Assessment. March 31, 2011. County of Oxford.
Mount Elgin (existing and planned wells)	Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. Mount Elgin Threats Assessment. March 31, 2011. County of Oxford.
Woodstock - Rural Well Systems (existing and planned wells)	Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. Woodstock - Rural Well Systems Threats Assessment. March 31, 2011. County of Oxford.
Mitchell, Sebringville, St. Pauls, Stratford, St. Marys	Draft Threat Assessment – Perth County Municipal Drinking Water Systems. Schlumberger Water Services. June 2010.
Shakespeare	Draft Threat Assessment – Milverton and Shakespeare Municipal Drinking Water Systems. Schlumberger Water Services. May 6, 2010.
Perth, Town of St. Marys and City of Stratford Sewer Threats Analysis	Technical Memorandum - Sewer Line Threats Assessment - Perth County Municipal Drinking Water Systems. Schlumberger Water Services. May 2011
Pasture Lands and Outdoor Confinement Area Threats Analysis for all systems	Thames-Sydenham and Region. Jason Wintermute. Technical Memo regarding the Assessment of Chemical Threats from the Use of Land as Livestock Grazing, Pasturing Land, and Outdoor Confinement Area or a Farm-Animal Yard. March 2011.

From these technical studies, information is compiled and provided in this section of the Assessment Report. This section is organized into discussions on the types of *activities* that may be considered as drinking water quality *threats*, the methodology used to identify *threats* and assess *risks*, the lists of *threats* in *vulnerable areas* with maps showing these, and lastly the next steps and data gaps.

## **7.1 Drinking Water Quality Threat Identification and Risk Assessment Methodology**

Drinking water quality *threats* in *vulnerable areas* must be identified and assessed as to their *risk* to the drinking water source. The *vulnerable areas* are *Intake Protection Zone (IPZ)*, *Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA)*, *Highly Vulnerable Aquifers (HVA)* and *Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas (SGRA)*. *IPZ* are comprised of *IPZ-1*, *IPZ-2* and *IPZ-3*, while *WHPA* are comprised of *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C*, *WHPA-D*, and possibly, *WHPA-E* and *WHPA-F*. The vulnerability assessment (including delineation and assignment of vulnerability scores) of these *vulnerable areas* is described in Section 4 - Vulnerability Assessment of this Assessment Report. In the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area, there are no surface water intakes. The *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C*, *WHPA-D* and *WHPA-E* are delineated. As discussed in Section 4.3.4, *WHPA-F* was not required to be delineated. In the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area, 21 drinking water systems draw their source water from groundwater aquifers. Map 4-1 shows the location of the *WHPA* around municipal wellheads. Map 4-3-2 shows the delineated *HVA*, while Map 4-2-1 shows the delineated *SGRA* in the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area.

The drinking water quality *threats* that may be considered in the identified *vulnerable areas* are those due to: *prescribed activities*, *other activities*, *conditions* (past *activities*) and *activities* contributing to identified drinking water quality *issues*. The *Technical Rules: Assessment Report* Part XI describes the listing of drinking water quality *threats*. In the Thames-Sydenham and Region, a local guidance document was developed to provide clarification and local interpretation of the relevant sections in the Clean Water Act, its regulations and the associated *technical rules* pertaining to the *threats* and *risk* assessment. The methodology is included in Appendix 10.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

The sections below summarize the types of *threats* and the methodology followed in the region to identify *threats* and assess *risks*.

## 7.1.1 Prescribed Drinking Water Threats

Through the Clean Water Act and General Regulation 287/07, a list of 21 *prescribed* drinking water *threats* is provided. That list is reproduced in Table 7-2.

**Table 7-2 Activities Prescribed as Drinking Water Threats**

1. The establishment, operation or maintenance of a waste disposal site within the meaning of Part V of the *Environmental Protection Act*.
2. The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage.
3. The application of agricultural source material to land.
4. The storage of agricultural source material.
5. The management of agricultural source material.
6. The application of non-agricultural source material to land.
7. The handling and storage of non-agricultural source material.
8. The application of commercial fertilizer to land.
9. The handling and storage of commercial fertilizer.
10. The application of pesticide to land.
11. The handling and storage of pesticide.
12. The application of road salt.
13. The handling and storage of road salt.
14. The storage of snow.
15. The handling and storage of fuel.
16. The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid.
17. The handling and storage of an organic solvent.
18. The management of runoff that contains chemicals used in the de-icing of aircraft.
19. An activity that takes water from an aquifer or a surface water body without returning the water taken to the same aquifer or surface water body.
20. An activity that reduces the recharge of an aquifer.
21. The use of land as livestock grazing or pasturing land, an outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard.

The *risk* associated with *activities prescribed* as water quantity related *threats* (numbers 19 and 20 in the above table) are considered in Section 3 - Water Budget and Water Quantity Stress

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

Assessment of this Assessment Report. The *activities* 1 to 18 and 21 are *prescribed* drinking water *threats* related to drinking water quality and are discussed in this section. They may be summarized into:

- Application, handling and storage of *agricultural source material* (manure), *non-agricultural source material* (bio-solids), commercial fertilizer, pesticide or road salt
- Handling and storage of fuel, *dense non-aqueous phase liquids*, or organic solvents
- Management of runoff that contains aircraft de-icing chemicals
- Livestock grazing or pasturing land, outdoor confinement areas or farm-animal yards
- Snow storage
- Systems that collect, store, transmit, treat or dispose of sewage
- Waste disposal sites

An *activity* may pose a *risk* to drinking water quality based on the following factors which are described further in this section:

- the *vulnerable area* where the *activity* is located;
- the vulnerability score assigned to that area;
- the *circumstances* related to the *activity*; and
- the *hazard score* resulting from the *activity* under the *circumstances* related to the *activity*.

An *activity* is deemed to be a significant, moderate or low *threat* depending on the calculated *risk* score. The *risk* score is calculated by multiplying the vulnerability score assigned to a *vulnerable area* with the hazard score of the *activity*.

$$\text{Risk} = \text{Vulnerability} \times \text{Hazard}$$

Table 7-3 shows the relationship between the *risk* score calculated and the resulting *threat* level. The highest possible *risk* score is 100. A *risk* score of 80 or greater results in a *significant threat* level. Some exceptions include *issue*-based *threats* which are deemed *significant* regardless of the vulnerability area and score (if the *issue*, identified at a drinking water system included in the Terms of Reference, is partially or wholly due to anthropogenic causes, as per

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

Rules 114 and 115, and activities contributing to it are within an *IPZ* or *WHPA*), and *activities* related to *Dense Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (DNAPLs)* which are *significant threats* in *WHPA-A* (100 m radius), *WHPA-B* (2 year capture zone excluding A), and *WHPA-C* (2 to 5 year capture zone) regardless of the vulnerability score. In *WHPA-D* (5 to 25 year capture zone), *WHPA-E* and *WHPA-F*, *dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs)* are considered under chemical *threats*. *Pathogens* are not viewed as *threats* outside of *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-E* and *IPZ-1* and *IPZ-2*. *WHPA-E* and *WHPA-F* are delineated for drinking water systems designated to be *groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (GUDI)*.

**Table 7-3 Threat Level Determination**

<b>Risk Score</b>	<b>Threat Level</b>
80 or more	Significant
60 or greater, but less than 80	Moderate
Greater than 40, but less than 60	Low
40 or less than 40	No threat

Another approach to identifying drinking water quality threats is the events based approach. According to Technical Rule 130 and the MOE Technical Bulletin on groundwater quality analysis (July 2009), if modeling can show that activities in a vulnerable area cause a deterioration of the water source for purposes of drinking, then those activities are *significant threats* to the drinking water source.

As mentioned earlier, the *vulnerable areas* are *IPZ*, *WHPA*, *HVA* and *SGRA*. As there are no surface water intakes in the Upper Thames River SPA, there are no *IPZs*. According to the *Technical Rules: Assessment Report*, vulnerability scores for *WHPA* range from 2 to 10 (depending on whether it is for *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C*, *WHPA-D*, *WHPA-E* or *WHPA-F*). Through the vulnerability scoring approach, an *activity* can only be identified as a *threat* if it is occurring in a *vulnerable area* and the vulnerability score of the area is greater than 4. In an area where the vulnerability score is 8 or greater, the *threat* may be *significant* (dependent on the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*). *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C*, *WHPA-E* and *WHPA-F* can have vulnerability scores of 8 or greater. As a result, it is possible to have *significant threats* in *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C*, *WHPA-E* and *WHPA-F*, dependent upon the assigned vulnerability score. As discussed in Section 4.3.4, *WHPA-F* was not required to be delineated. *HVA* are assigned a vulnerability score of 6 while *SGRA* are assessed a

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

vulnerability score of 6 or less, as described in Section 4 – Vulnerability Assessment. Hence there can be no *significant threats* in *HVA* and *SGRA*, through the vulnerability scoring approach. Further, in *SGRA* with vulnerability scores of 4 and 2, no *threats* can be identified, as discussed above.

In order to assess the *risks* due to the *prescribed* drinking water quality *threats*, the Ministry of Environment (*MOE*) has developed '*Tables of Drinking Water Threats*' based on the 21 *prescribed threats*. The *MOE tables of drinking water threats* include the results of the *risk* score calculation and identify the *threat* level associated with an *activity* based on the vulnerability score of the area in which the *activity* is being undertaken. The *MOE tables of drinking water threats* provide the *circumstances* under which an *activity* may be categorized as a low, moderate or *significant threat*. Hence, the *circumstances* of the *activity* are considered to determine the level of *risk* associated with a water *threat*. The *circumstances* to be considered include the type of material, the quantity of material and whether it might be released to surface water or groundwater. Each combination of *circumstances* for an *activity* is assigned a hazard score. The hazard score ranges between 4.1 to 10 for chemical *threats*, 5 to 10 for *pathogens*, and 8.3 to 10 for *DNAPLs*. There are two separate tables in the *tables of drinking water threats* for *activities* related to chemicals and for *activities* related to pathogens. The *MOE tables of drinking water threats* are available at:

[http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/resources/STD01\\_078436.html](http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/resources/STD01_078436.html)

The MOE tables of circumstances are available at:

[http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/legislation/clean\\_water\\_act/STDPROD\\_081301.html](http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/legislation/clean_water_act/STDPROD_081301.html)

See Maps 7-3-1 to 7-3-23 for activities related to chemicals, *DNAPLs* and pathogens that are low, moderate or *significant threats*. These maps also contain tables indicating the possible level of threat dependent on the *WHPA* zone and the vulnerability score. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

## Chemicals

Chemicals include, but are not limited to, nitrogen and phosphorus (related to the prescribed drinking water threat of application of commercial fertilizers, and *agricultural source material and non-agricultural source material* to land), atrazine, dicamba, glyphosate (related to the application of pesticide on land), *BTEX*, certain petroleum hydrocarbons (related to the handling and storage of fuel), chloroform (related to the handling and storage of organic solvent), sodium and copper (related to the storage of snow). In the *tables of drinking water threats*, *dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs)* such as trichloroethylene and vinyl chloride are considered as chemicals but only in *WHPA-D*, *WHPA-E* and *WHPA-F*. The consideration of *DNAPLs* in *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C* is described below.

## Dense Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids

*Dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs)* are considered separately from other chemical related *activities* in *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C*. *DNAPLs* are heavier than water and do not mix with water. They are of concern in groundwater since they sink into the ground, settle at the bottom of and contaminate an aquifer. Examples of *activities* or products containing *DNAPLs* include: dry cleaning, wood preservation, asphalt operations, machining, pesticides, brake cleaners, glues, varnishes, production and repair of automobiles, aviation equipment (source of information: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/eau-water/default.asp?lang=En&n=6A7FB7B2-1#sub3>). The *activities* related to the handling and storage of *Dense Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (DNAPLs)* are deemed *significant threats* in *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C*, regardless of the vulnerability score. In *WHPA-D*, *WHPA-E* and *WHPA-F*, *dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs)* are considered under chemical *threats*.

## Pathogens

Pathogens are disease-causing microorganisms. In the *tables of drinking water threats*, they are not limited to a specific list of types of pathogens. *Activities* that may cause the presence of pathogens include, but are not limited to, the application of *agricultural source material and non-agricultural source material* to land, livestock grazing, and sewage discharge. *Pathogens* are not viewed as *threats*, outside of *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-E* and *IPZ-1* and *IPZ-2*.

## Locations at which Significant Threats Occur

The Clean Water Act requires the enumeration of locations at which a *significant threat* is thought to occur. Also, a list of *activities* which are or 'would be' *threats* is to be included.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

Generally, this is addressed by including all *activities* listed in the *prescribed* lists even if they are not currently occurring in an area. *Activities* not currently occurring in the *vulnerable areas*, 'would be' *threats* if the *activity* was to occur in the future. The *circumstances* which result in *significant threats* must also be identified in the Assessment Reports.

## **Mapping of Impervious Area, Managed Lands and Livestock Density**

As part of the identification of certain *prescribed* chemical drinking water *threats*, an intermediate step involving the creation of maps showing impervious area (see Maps 7-1-1 to 7-1-23), managed lands and livestock density (see Maps 7-2-1 to 7-2-23) is necessary. A determination of the percentage of impervious area is needed to determine the level of *threat* associated with the application of road salt. Also, the percentage of managed lands and the livestock density are required, as this is related to the level of *threat* for the application of *agricultural source material (ASM)*, commercial fertilizer or *non-agricultural source material (NASM)*.

Any pathogen *threats* associated with these *activities* (related to the application of *ASM* and *NASM*) are assessed separately using the pathogen table of the *tables of drinking water threats*.

The calculations made to map the impervious area, managed lands and livestock density are described briefly below.

## **Impervious Area**

For determining the *risk* level associated with the application of road salt, the percentage of impervious area must be determined. Impervious areas related to application of road salt include roads, parking areas and sidewalks. The percentage of impervious surface areas must be calculated within each square kilometre of *vulnerable areas* (Rule 16). The extent of each square kilometre is determined by overlaying a 1 kilometre by 1 kilometre grid over the *vulnerable area* with a node of the grid located at the centroid of the Source Protection Area. Geographic Information System (*GIS*) tools were used to undertake this calculation for each grid which touched a *vulnerable area*. Within the WHPAs, on-screen digitizing was performed using colour orthophotography from 2006 to delineate areas of paved road, parking lots, driveways and sidewalks. In the case of *HVAs* and *SGRAs*, the Southern Ontario Land Resource Information System (SOLRIS) land classification was used to delineate roads and, in

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

conjunction with the orthophotography, was used to delineate parking lots, driveways and sidewalks. The area of paved surface within each of the grid cells described above was divided by the area of the *vulnerable area* within that same grid cell to determine the percentage of impervious surface area.

## Managed Lands

In determining the percentage of managed lands, Source Protection committees must determine the areas where there may be application of *agricultural source material (ASM)*, commercial fertilizer, or *non-agricultural source material (NASM)*. These areas are expressed as percentages of the total area being evaluated. Managed lands can be broken into two types: agricultural managed land and non-agricultural managed land. Agricultural managed land includes areas of cropland, fallow and improved pasture that may receive nutrients. Non-agricultural managed lands include golf courses (turf), sports fields, lawns (turf) and other built-up grassed areas that may receive nutrients (primarily commercial fertilizer). Both managed land and agricultural managed lands are to be delineated within each of the *vulnerable areas* (individually for each *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C*, *WHPA-D*, *WHPA-E*, *WHPA-F*, well as for *HVA* and *SGRA*). Mapping the percentage of managed lands is not required where the vulnerability score for an area is less than the vulnerability score necessary for the *activity* to be considered a *threat* in the Table of Drinking Water *Threats*. Within the *WHPAs*, on-screen digitizing was performed using colour orthophotography from 2006 to delineate agricultural managed lands. In the case of *HVAs* and *SGRAs*, Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) information and the SOLRIS land classification was used to delineate agricultural managed lands. Within the *WHPAs*, non-agricultural managed lands were determined using MPAC information and orthophotography to select areas of green from commercial, industrial and residential properties. In the case of *HVAs* and *SGRAs*, the SOLRIS land classification was used with orthophotography to select areas of green from commercial, industrial and residential properties.

The percentage of managed land area within a *vulnerable area* is the sum of agricultural managed land and non-agricultural managed land, divided by the total area of all land within a *vulnerable area*, multiplied by 100. This was undertaken for each part of the *WHPA* which has been delineated and for the *HVAs* and *SGRAs* as a whole. Where a parcel of managed land is

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

partially within a *vulnerable area*, only the portion of the parcel within the *vulnerable area* is used in the calculations.

## **Livestock Density**

Livestock density is used as a surrogate measure of the potential for generating and land applying *Agricultural Source Material (ASM)* as a source of nutrients within a defined area. The livestock density is expressed in nutrient units per acre. The calculation of livestock density in a specified area requires the following steps:

1. Estimate the number of each category of animals present within the specified area,
2. Convert the number of each category of poultry and livestock present into nutrient units (NU), to enable all livestock to be compared on an equivalent unit of measure in terms of the nutrients produced by each type,
3. Sum the total NU of all categories of poultry and livestock within the specified area and then divide this NU value by the area of agricultural managed land within the same specified area. The determination of the agricultural managed land is described above.

The NUs within a *vulnerable area* were determined using the MPAC data to screen for livestock operations, the orthophotography to determine livestock barn size and the table provided in a MOE Technical Bulletin to convert the barn area into NUs. For the Oxford well systems, in addition to air photo interpretation, notes and photos from windshield surveys were utilized to arrive at an estimation about the type of livestock housed in a particular structure.

Livestock density is to be delineated within each of the *vulnerable areas* (individually for each *WHPA-A, WHPA-B, WHPA-C, WHPA-D, WHPAE, WHPA-F*, as well as for *HVA* and *SGRA*). Mapping the livestock density is not required where the vulnerability score for an area is less than the vulnerability score necessary for the *activity* to be considered a *threat* in the Table of Drinking Water *Threats*.

## **Risk Assessment using Managed Lands and Livestock Density**

The percentage of managed land and the livestock density of an area are used together as a surrogate for representing the quantity of nutrients present as a result of nutrient generation and

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

land application within an area. The *risk* assessment using managed lands and livestock density calculations is described below.

## **Chemical Threats Related to the Land Application of Nutrients**

Table 1 of the *tables of drinking water threats* requires that the maps for both percentage of managed lands and livestock density be considered when evaluating the *circumstances* with regard to each of the thresholds for land application of nutrients. Table 7-4 summarizes the chemical hazard scores for various combinations of percentage of managed lands and livestock densities. These are the consolidated hazard scores, incorporating the quantity, toxicity and fate scores. The highlighted combinations of percentage of managed land and NU/Acre give a hazard rating for land application of nutrients that, when combined with the area vulnerability scores of 9 or 10, would result in *significant risk* to source waters. To calculate *risk*, the hazard score is multiplied by the vulnerability score for the area.

**Table 7-4 Chemical Hazard Scorings for Various Combinations of Percentage of Managed Lands and Livestock Densities**

Percentage Managed Land of Total Land	Nutrient Units (NU) per Acre of Cropland		
	< 0.5 NU/acre	0.5 to 1.0 NU/acre	> 1.0 NU/acre
<b>GROUNDWATER</b>			
> 80%	8 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10	8.4 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10	8.4 Significant in Areas of Vulnerability Score 10
40 to 80%	6.8	7.6	8.4 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10
< 40%	6	6.8	8 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10
<b>SURFACE WATER</b>			
> 80%	8.8 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10	9.2 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10 or 9	9.2 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10 or 9
40 to 80%	7.6	8.4 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10	9.2 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10 or 9
< 40%	6.8	7.6	8.8 Significant in areas of Vulnerability Score 10

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

## ***Chemical Threats Related to the Use of Land for Livestock Grazing, Pasturing or Outdoor Confinement Area or Farm-Animal Yard***

In general, the use of land as livestock grazing or pasture land will be a *significant* chemical *threat* in:

- *Vulnerable Areas* scoring 9 if the livestock density is sufficient to generate nutrients at an annual rate that is more than 1.0 Nutrient Units per acre (NU/acre); or
- *Vulnerable Areas* scoring 10 if the livestock density is sufficient to generate nutrients at an annual rate that is at least 0.5 NU/acre for surface water (in an *IPZ*) or more than 1.0 NU /acre for groundwater; and
- if the land use may result in the presence of Nitrogen or Phosphorus in surface water or Nitrogen in groundwater. The *tables of drinking water threats* refer to Phosphorus in groundwater, but do not identify any *threats* associated with it in a *WHPA*.

The use of land as livestock outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard will be a *significant* chemical *threat* in:

- *Vulnerable Areas* scoring 10 if the number of animals confined in the area at any time is sufficient to generate nutrients at a rate of more than 300 nutrient units (NU) per hectare of the area annually for groundwater and at a rate of more than 120 NUs per hectare of the area annually for surface water (*IPZ*); or
- *Vulnerable Areas* scoring 9 if the number of animals confined in the area at any time is sufficient to generate nutrients at a rate of more than 120 NUs per hectare of the area annually for surface water (in an *IPZ*); and
- the land use may result in the presence of Nitrogen or Phosphorus in surface water or Nitrogen in groundwater. The *tables of drinking water threats* refer to Phosphorus in groundwater, but do not identify any *threats* associated with it in a *WHPA*.

In determining chemical threats related to the use of land for livestock grazing, pasturing or outdoor confinement area or farm animal yard, a livestock density value is used. This value is calculated using the same methodology as described above, but is performed on an individual farm parcel rather than the whole of a *vulnerable area*.

### **Chemical Threats Related to Agricultural Source Material Storage**

The *technical rules* and associated *tables of drinking water threats* state that the use of land to store *Agricultural Source Material (ASM)* would be a *significant* chemical *threat* in *Vulnerable Areas* scoring 9 or 10 if the weight or volume of manure stored annually on a farm parcel is sufficient to annually land apply nutrients at a rate that is more than 1.0 Nutrient Units per Acre (NU/Acre) of the farm parcel. Under the Table of Drinking Water *Threats* this is determined by the NU stored on farm parcel divided by the size of farm parcel. Furthermore, another *circumstance* for *ASM* storage is that a spill of the material or runoff from the area where the material is stored (i.e. a point source release) may result in the presence of Nitrogen or Phosphorus in groundwater (*WHPA*) or surface water (*IPZ*).

### **7.1.2 Other Activities**

The Clean Water Act also allows the Source Protection Committee to include *activities* that they consider being drinking water *threats* but are not *prescribed* drinking water *threats*. These are called *other activities* (Rule 119). The Source Protection Committee can also identify additional *circumstances* (not already in the *tables of drinking water threats*) under which they consider the *activity* to be a *prescribed* drinking water *threat*. The Source Protection Committee is considering a few such *other activities*, as discussed in Section 7.3. These include geothermal systems (harnessing underground temperature) and transportation corridors (shipping or road transport of materials).

*Other activities* may be listed as *threats* only if the Source Protection Committee identifies them as drinking water *threats*, and similar to the *prescribed threats*, if the hazard score is greater than 4 and the *risk* score calculated is greater than 40. The hazard score must be calculated based on certain criteria set out in the *technical rules*, and further must be agreed upon by the Director (*MOE*).

### **7.1.3 Threats Arising from Conditions**

*Conditions* are a result of past *activities*. In general, *conditions* are the presence of:

- non-aqueous phase liquids in *WHPA*, *HVA* and *SGRA*
- a single mass of more than 100 litres of *dense non-aqueous phase liquids* in surface water in an *IPZ*

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

- o a contaminant in the groundwater of an *HVA*, *SGRA* or *WHPA*, in surface soil of an *IPZ*, or in sediments in a *vulnerable area*, that exceeds a certain *MOE* 'criteria' for different land uses

The list above is only a summary of the types of situations that can be considered *conditions*. The actual list of situations are in Section 6 - Conditions Assessment of the Assessment Report, along with what the *MOE* 'criteria' are from *MOE* published tables of standards for soil, groundwater and sediments for land uses such as commercial, residential and industrial.

If *Conditions* (resulting from past *activities*) are identified, the hazard score is either 6 or 10 depending on certain factors (Rule 139). There are additional scenarios where, regardless of the *risk* score, a *condition* is a *significant threat*. These scenarios are when a *condition* is related to a drinking water quality *issue* (known to be partially or wholly due to anthropogenic causes; see Section 7.1.4 below) or an *IPZ-3*. For more information, refer to Section 6 – Conditions Assessment of this Assessment Report.

## 7.1.4 Threats Arising from Issues

A drinking water *issue* is a *parameter* (a substance) or *pathogen* (a disease-causing microorganism) which is shown to deteriorate, or trends towards a deterioration of raw (untreated) water quality for the purposes of drinking. The *issues* identified in the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area are summarized in Section 5 - Issues Evaluation of the Assessment Report.

According to Rules 114, 115, 131 and 141, *activities* or *conditions* that contribute to drinking water quality *issues* (known to be partially or wholly due to *anthropogenic* sources), are deemed *significant* drinking water *threats* regardless of assigned vulnerability scores. This applies to intake protection zones and wellhead protection areas only, for drinking water systems identified in the Source Protection Area Terms of Reference.

If an *issue* is identified, the *activities* that contribute to the identified *issue* and the areas where they occur (within *vulnerable areas*) must also be identified. For the *activities* or *conditions* contributing to *issues* that are deemed to be *significant threats* as described above, the *risks* the *activities* or *conditions* pose must be reduced through the source protection plan.

Further, *issues* in *HVAs* or *SGRAs* or those linked to a system not identified in the Terms of Reference may lead to the identification of moderate drinking water threats (not significant threats). Systems not identified in the Terms of Reference may be those included in the source protection planning process through municipal council resolution or by the Minister (MOE).

## 7.1.5 Local Guidance and Technical Studies

In the Thames-Sydenham and Region, a guidance document called the *Threats and Risk Assessment Local Guidance Version 1.2* (September 9, 2009) was created. This guidance document provides clarification and local interpretation of the relevant sections in the Clean Water Act, its regulations and the associated *technical rules* pertaining to the *threats* and *risk* assessment. It is provided in Appendix 10. In the Thames-Sydenham and Region, *threat* and *risk* assessment work was done according to the latest Technical Rules (amended November 16, 2009), the Clean Water Act (2006) and its regulations, as well as the *Threats and Risk Assessment Local Guidance* document.

For the *threats* analysis in the *WHPA-A to D*, an inventory of land use *activities* that may be associated with *prescribed* drinking water *threat* was conducted. The inventory was based on a review of multiple data sources including public records, data provided through questionnaires completed by municipal officials, previous contaminant/historical land use information, and data collected during windshield surveys. Very little site specific information was collected; therefore, all *prescribed* drinking water *threat activities* are considered potential rather than confirmed. In summary, evaluation followed a multi-step process including:

- assigning land use *activity*
- assigning vulnerability scores
- relating land use *activity* to *threat* category
- relating land use *activity* to *prescribed* drinking water *threat* and
- determining applicable *circumstances*.

Determining the applicable *circumstances* is based on a combination of site-specific knowledge of *activities* on the property, available information on local/regional characteristics, and on

professional opinion. Where possible, site-specific data from information provided through available public records and interviews are considered. In many cases, selection of the relevant *circumstance* is based largely on professional opinion as to the likelihood of a *circumstance* being applicable, as site inspections have not been conducted to date.

A tier 2, or site-specific, *risk* assessment to confirm the number of locations at which *significant threats* occur, will be conducted while developing the source protection plans, if needed.

## **7.2 Drinking Water Quality Threats and Risk Assessment**

From the *prescribed* list of *activities*, the drinking water *threats* and their *circumstances* are identified in *vulnerable areas* of each drinking water system. They are described further in this section.

The Source Protection Committee has not identified any '*other*' (not *prescribed*) *activities* or *circumstances* (not in the *tables of drinking water threats*) at this point. However, the Source Protection Committee has expressed a concern to the *MOE* over the *risks* associated with the transportation of materials through pipelines or other corridors. The Source Protection Committee has also expressed a concern over the potential *risk* that geothermal systems pose to groundwater sources of drinking water. The Source Protection Committee will give further consideration to these *activities* and may include them in an amended Assessment Report if they cannot be adequately addressed through other means.

The investigation to determine if there are any *conditions* (*threats* resulting from past *activities*) is yet to be completed at the time of drafting this Assessment Report. However, a couple of potential *conditions* in the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area are being considered for further work. More studies will be undertaken on identifying and assessing *conditions* and the Assessment Report will be amended if necessary. These are discussed in Section 6 – Conditions Assessment.

*Activities* or *conditions* that contribute to drinking water quality *issues* (known to be partially or wholly due to *anthropogenic* sources), are deemed *significant* drinking water *threats* regardless

of assigned vulnerability scores. This applies to *intake protection zones* and *wellhead protection areas* only, for drinking water systems identified in the Source Protection Area Terms of Reference. The area and *activities* contributing to a drinking water quality *issue* (known to be partially or wholly due to *anthropogenic* sources) must both be identified. The determination of the sources of identified *issues* is noted as a data gap in Section 5 - Issues Evaluation of the Assessment Report.

The following subsections describe the findings of the *threats* identification through the vulnerability scoring approach, and results of the *risk* assessment for each drinking water system. This includes the identification of *significant threats*, number of locations at which *significant threats* are or would occur, and areas within *vulnerable areas* where low, moderate or *significant threats* could occur.

## **7.2.1 Threats Identified through Mapping of Impervious Surfaces, Managed Lands and Livestock Density**

The maps indicating impervious surfaces (Maps 7-1-1 to 7-1-23), managed lands and livestock density (Maps 7-2-1 to 7-2-23) in the region are provided in Appendix 1 of this Assessment Report. The identification of the *threats* related to these mapped areas is completed. The *threats* related to these mapping products are the application of *agricultural source material and non-agricultural source material* to land, the application of commercial fertilizer to land, and the application of road salt. They are identified as chemical related threats in the description on each drinking water system below. Due to the vulnerability scoring of *WHPA-E*, *HVA* and *SGRA*, the analysis will not result in the identification of any *significant threats* in these *vulnerable areas*.

## **7.2.2 Number of Locations of Significant Threats**

Tables 7-5, 7-6, 7-7 and 7-8 provide the number of locations where *significant threats* are thought to occur, based on current land use, within the *vulnerable areas* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. These numbers include *threats* due to chemical and pathogen-related *activities*. As can be seen from Tables 7-5, 7-6 and 7-7, there are no locations of *activities* that 'are or would be' *significant threats* within the *WHPA-E*, due to the vulnerability scores. As can be seen from Table 7-8, there are no locations of *activities* that 'are or would be' *significant threats* within the *HVA* and *SGRA*. This is due to the range of vulnerability scores

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

allowed for *HVA* and *SGRA* as discussed in Section 7.1.1. There are however locations where *significant threats* 'are or would' occur in the *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C*. There are no surface water intakes in this Source Protection Area, therefore no *IPZs*.

**Table 7-5 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in Middlesex County and City of London**

System - wellfield	Vulnerable Area	Vulnerability Score	Number of Locations of Significant Threats
Birr	WHPA - A	10	13
	WHPA - B	6	0
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
Melrose	WHPA - A	10	16
	WHPA - B	10	10
	WHPA - C	6, 8	0
	WHPA - D	2, 4, 6	0
Dorchester	WHPA - A	10	5
	WHPA - B	10	64
	WHPA - B	6	0
	WHPA - C	4, 8	0
	WHPA - D	2, 6	0
	WHPA - E	6.3	0
Thorndale	WHPA - A	10	6
	WHPA - B	6	1
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
City of London-Fanshawe wells	WHPA - A	10	6
	WHPA - B	10	1
	WHPA - C	8	0
	WHPA - D	Not applicable*	Not applicable
	WHPA - E	7	0
City of London-Hyde Park wells	WHPA - A	10	2
	WHPA - B	10	1
	WHPA - B	6, 8	0
	WHPA - C	6	1
	WHPA - C	4, 8	0
	WHPA - D	2, 4, 6	0

\*WHPA reaches steady state in WHPA-C, therefore there is no WHPA-D

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

**Table 7-6 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in Oxford County**

System - wellfield	Vulnerable Area	Vulnerability Score	Number of Locations of Significant Threats
Beachville	WHPA - A	10	6
	WHPA - B	6, 8	0
	WHPA - C	4, 8	1
	WHPA - D	2, 4, 6	0
Embro	WHPA - A	10	20
	WHPA - B	6	4
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
Hickson	WHPA - A	10	10
	WHPA - B	8	0
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
Ingersoll	WHPA - A	10	21
	WHPA - B	6, 8, 10	22
	WHPA - C	2, 6	16
	WHPA - D	2, 4, 6	0
Innerkip	WHPA - A	10	2
	WHPA - B	8	0
	WHPA - C	6, 8	0
	WHPA - D	2, 4	0
Lakeside	WHPA - A	10	6
	WHPA - B	6	0
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
Mount Elgin	WHPA - A	10	17
	WHPA - B	6	0
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
Tavistock	WHPA - A	10	5
	WHPA - B	6	10
	WHPA - C	4	1
	WHPA - D	2	0
Thamesford	WHPA - A	10	6
	WHPA - B	6, 8, 10	6
	WHPA - C	4, 8, 10	0
	WHPA - D	2, 8	0
	WHPA - E	6.3	0
Woodstock – Urban Wells	WHPA - A	10	6
	WHPA - B	8, 6	20
	WHPA - C	6, 2	55
	WHPA - D	4, 2	0
Woodstock – Rural Wells	WHPA - A	10	20
	WHPA - B	10, 8	225
	WHPA - C	8, 6, 2	0

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

**Table 7-6 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in Oxford County**

System - wellfield	Vulnerable Area	Vulnerability Score	Number of Locations of Significant Threats
	WHPA - D	4, 2	0
	WHPA - E	7	0

**Table 7-7 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in Perth County, City of Stratford and Town of St. Marys**

System - wellfield	Vulnerable Area	Vulnerability Score	Number of Locations of Significant Threats
Mitchell	WHPA - A	10	5
	WHPA - B	6	2
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
Shakespeare	WHPA - A	10	2
	WHPA - B	6	1
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
Sebringville	WHPA - A	10	0
	WHPA - B	10	0
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
St. Pauls	WHPA - A	10	1
	WHPA - B	6	0
	WHPA - C	4	0
	WHPA - D	2	0
Stratford	WHPA - A	10	16
	WHPA - B	6	4
	WHPA - C	4	1
	WHPA - D	2	0
St. Marys	WHPA - A	10	7
	WHPA - B	6, 8, 10	21
	WHPA - C	4, 6	0
	WHPA - D	2, 4, 6	0
	WHPA - E	7.2	0

**Table 7-8 Number of Locations of Significant Drinking Water Threats in HVA and SGRA**

System - wellfield	Vulnerable Area	Vulnerability Score	Number of Locations of Significant Threats
(Not applicable)	HVA	6.0	0
(Not applicable)	SGRA	6.0, 4.0 and 2.0	0

### 7.2.3 Threats in Birr Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-9 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Birr *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*. The land use within the Birr *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, residential and commercial. Map 7-3-1 shows areas in the Birr *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A, WHPA-B, WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-9 Significant Threats in the Birr WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The application of agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A
The application of non-agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		25
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		13*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.4 Threats in Dorchester Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-10 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Dorchester *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-B*. The land use within the Dorchester *WHPA* is mainly residential, agricultural, commercial and park/open space. The Dorchester Swamp is a natural feature that spans the *WHPA*. Map 7-3-2 and Map 7-3-2a show areas in the Dorchester *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A, WHPA-B, WHPA-C, WHPA-D* or *WHPA-E*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances*

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-10 Significant Threats in the Dorchester WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A, B
The application of agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A, B
The storage of agricultural source material	Pathogen	A, B
The application of non-agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A, B
The handling and storage of commercial fertilizer	Chemical	A, B
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A, B
The handling and storage of pesticide	Chemical	B
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A, B
The use of land as livestock grazing or pasturing land, an outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard	Pathogen	A, B
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		197
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		64*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.5 Threats in Kilworth-Komoka Wellhead Protection Areas – WELLS DECOMMISSIONED

The Kilworth-Komoka wells were decommissioned in October 2010, as per information from Municipality of Middlesex Centre. Information on the wells is therefore removed from this Assessment Report. Komoka/Kilworth is now supplied by the Lake Huron Primary Water Supply System.

**Table 7-11 Significant Threats in the Kilworth-Komoka WHPA - WELLS DECOMMISSIONED**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
Not Applicable* (NA)	NA	NA
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		NA
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		NA

\*The Kilworth-Komoka wells were decommissioned in October 2010 and are therefore information on the wells is removed from this Assessment Report

### 7.2.6 Threats in City of London Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-12 and Table 7-13 indicate the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the City of London *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C*. The land use within the City of London-Fanshawe *WHPA* is mainly park/open space, industrial and residential. The general land use within the City of London-Hyde Park *WHPA* is mainly residential, commercial, park/open spaces and institutional. Map 7-3-4, Map 7-3-4a (Fanshawe wellfield) and Map 7-3-5 (Hyde Park wellfield) show areas in the City of London *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C*, *WHPA-D* or *WHPA-E*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-12 Significant Threats in the City of London-Fanshawe WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A, B
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		10
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		6*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

**Table 7-13 Significant Threats in the City of London-Hyde Park WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A, B
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	C
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		4
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		4*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.7 Threats in Melrose Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-14 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Melrose *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-B*. The land use within the Melrose *WHPA* is mainly residential and agricultural. Map 7-3-6 shows areas in the Melrose *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-14 Significant Threats in the Melrose WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A, B
The application of agricultural source material to land	Chemical	B
The application of non-agricultural source material to land	Chemical	B
The application of commercial fertilizer to land	Chemical	B
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A, B
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		55
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		26*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.8 Threats in Thorndale Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-15 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Thorndale *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-B*. The land use within the Thorndale *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, residential and industrial. Map 7-3-7 shows areas in the Thorndale *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-15 Significant Threats in the Thorndale WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The application of agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A
The application of non-agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A
The handling and storage of commercial fertilizer	Chemical	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of pesticide	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	B
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		20
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		7*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.9 Threats in Beachville Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-16 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Beachville *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-C*. The land use within the Beachville *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, residential and industrial. Map 7-3-8 shows areas in the Beachville *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

**Table 7-16 Significant Threats in the Beachville WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	C
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		7
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		7*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.10 Threats in Embro Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-17 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Embro *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-B*. The land use within the Embro *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, residential and commercial. Map 7-3-9 shows areas in the Embro *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-17 Significant Threats in the Embro WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The application of agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A
The use of land as livestock grazing or pasturing land, an outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard	Pathogen	A
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A, B
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		25
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		22*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.11 Threats in Hickson Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-18 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Hickson *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*. The land use within the Hickson *WHPA* is mainly agricultural and residential. Map 7-3-10 shows areas in the Hickson *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-18 Significant Threats in the Hickson WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The application of agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A
The application of agricultural source material to land	Chemical	A
The application of commercial fertilizer	Chemical	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		21
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		10*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.12 Threats in Ingersoll Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-19 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Ingersoll *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C*. The land use within the Ingersoll *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, industrial, park/open space and commercial. Map 7-3-11 shows areas in the Ingersoll *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

*circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-19 Significant Threats in the Ingersoll WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a waste disposal site within the meaning of Part V of the Environmental Protection Act	Chemical	A
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A, B
The application of agricultural source material to land	Chemical, Pathogen	A, B
The storage of agricultural source material	Chemical, Pathogen	B
The application of commercial fertilizer to land	Chemical	A, B
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	B
The handling and storage of pesticide	Chemical	A, B
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A, B
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A, B, C
The handling and storage of an organic solvent	Chemical	A
The use of land as livestock grazing or pasturing land, an outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard	Chemical, Pathogen	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		61
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		41*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.13 Threats in Innerkip Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-20 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Innerkip *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*. The land use within the Innerkip *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, residential and park/open space. Map 7-3-12 shows areas in the Innerkip *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

**Table 7-20 Significant Threats in the Innerkip WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The application of agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		3
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		2*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.14 Threats in Lakeside Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-21 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Lakeside *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*. The land use within the Lakeside *WHPA* is mainly agricultural with some residential and industrial use. Map 7-3-13 shows areas in the Lakeside *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-21 Significant Threats in the Lakeside WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The application of agricultural source material to land	Chemical, Pathogen	A
The application of commercial fertilizer to land	Chemical	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		13
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		6*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.15 Threats in Mount Elgin Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-22 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Mount Elgin *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*. The land use within the Mount Elgin *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, residential and institutional. Map 7-3-14 shows areas in the Mount Elgin *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-22 Significant Threats in the Mount Elgin WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a waste disposal site within the meaning of Part V of the Environmental Protection Act	Pathogen	A
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The application of agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A
The use of land as livestock grazing or pasturing land, an outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard	Pathogen	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		34
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		17*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.16 Threats in Tavistock Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-23 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Tavistock *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C*. The land use within the Tavistock *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, residential and institutional. Map 7-3-15 shows areas in the Tavistock *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-*

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

D) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-23 Significant Threats in the Tavistock WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A, B, C
The handling and storage of an organic solvent	Chemical	A
Total number of occurrences of significant threats		19
Total number of locations of significant threats		15*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.17 Threats in Thamesford Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-24 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Thamesford *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-B*. The land use within the Thamesford *WHPA* is mainly agricultural and residential with some industrial use. Map 7-3-16 and Map 7-3-16a show areas in the Thamesford *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A, WHPA-B, WHPA-C, WHPA-D* or *WHPA-E*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-24 Significant Threats in the Thamesford WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A, B
The application of agricultural source material to land	Pathogen	A, B
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A, B
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		10
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		8*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.18 Threats in Woodstock Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-25 and Table 7-26 indicate the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Woodstock *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C*. The land use within the Woodstock *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, residential, industrial and commercial. Map 7-3-17 and Map 7-3-17a shows areas in the Woodstock *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C*, *WHPA-D* or *WHPA-E*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

**Table 7-25 Significant Threats in the Woodstock WHPA (Urban well system)**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a waste disposal site within the meaning of Part V of the Environmental Protection Act	Chemical	A
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A, B, C
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		73
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		68*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

**Table 7-26 Significant Threats in the Woodstock WHPA (Rural well system)**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A, B
The application of agricultural source material to land	Chemical, Pathogen	A, B
The application of commercial fertilizer to land	Chemical	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A, B
The handling and storage of pesticides	Chemical	A, B
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A, B
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	B
The use of land as livestock grazing or pasturing land, an outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard	Chemical, Pathogen	B
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		235
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		205*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.19 Threats in Mitchell Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-27 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Mitchell *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

*significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-B*. The land use within the Mitchell *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, industrial, commercial and residential. Map 7-3-18 shows areas in the Mitchell *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-27 Significant Threats in the Mitchell WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Chemical, Pathogen	A
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	A, B
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A, B
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		10
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		7*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.20 Threats in Sebringville Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-28 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Sebringville *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. No *significant threats* occur in the Sebringville *WHPA*. Map 7-3-19 shows areas in the Sebringville *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

**Table 7-28 Significant Threats in the Sebringville WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
None identified		
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		0
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		0

## 7.2.21 Threats in Shakespeare Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-29 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Shakespeare *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-B*. The land use within the Shakespeare *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, industrial and residential. Map 7-3-20 shows areas in the Shakespeare *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A*, *WHPA-B*, *WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-29 Significant Threats in the Shakespeare WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Pathogen	A
The application of agricultural source material to land	Chemical, Pathogen	A, B
The application of commercial fertilizer to land	Chemical	A, B
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A, B
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		5
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		2*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.22 Threats in St. Marys Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-30 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the St. Marys *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A* and *WHPA-B*. The land use within the St. Marys *WHPA* is mainly industrial, commercial and agricultural. Map 7-3-21 and Map 7-3-21a show areas in the St. Marys *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A, WHPA-B, WHPA-C, WHPA-D* or *WHPA-E*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-30 Significant Threats in the St. Marys WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The application of agricultural source material to land	Chemical, Pathogen	B
The storage of agricultural source material	Pathogen	B
The application of commercial fertilizer to land	Chemical	B
The handling and storage of commercial fertilizer	Chemical	B
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	B
The handling and storage of fuel	Chemical	B
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Chemical, Pathogen	A, B
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a waste disposal site within the meaning of Part V of the Environmental Protection Act	Chemical	B
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A, B
The handling and storage of an organic solvent	Chemical	A, B
The use of land as livestock grazing or pasturing land, an outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard	Pathogen	B
Total number of occurrences of significant threats		52
Total number of locations of significant threats		28*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.23 Threats in St. Pauls Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-31 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the St. Pauls *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threat* occurs in *WHPA-A*. The land use within the St. Pauls *WHPA* is mainly agricultural and residential. Map 7-3-22 shows areas in the St. Pauls *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A, WHPA-B, WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

**Table 7-31 Significant Threats in the St. Pauls WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The application of agricultural source material to land	Chemical, Pathogen	A
The application of commercial fertilizer to land	Chemical	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
The use of land as livestock grazing or pasturing land, an outdoor confinement area or a farm-animal yard	Chemical, Pathogen	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		4
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		1*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

### 7.2.24 Threats in Stratford Wellhead Protection Areas

Table 7-32 indicates the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the Stratford *WHPA* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. The *significant threats* occur in *WHPA-A, WHPA-B* and *WHPA-C*. The land use within the Stratford *WHPA* is mainly agricultural, commercial, industrial and residential. Map 7-3-23 shows areas in the Stratford *WHPA* where *activities* 'are or would be' low, moderate or *significant threats*. The level of *threat* is dependent upon the *vulnerable area* (*WHPA-A, WHPA-B, WHPA-C* or *WHPA-D*) where the *activity* is occurring, the vulnerability score and the *circumstances* associated with the *activity*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of low, moderate or *significant threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

**Table 7-32 Significant Threats in the Stratford WHPA**

Prescribed Drinking Water Threat	Type (Chemical, Pathogen or DNAPL)	WHPA
The establishment, operation or maintenance of a system that collects, stores, transmits, treats or disposes of sewage	Chemical, Pathogen	A
The handling and storage of a dense non-aqueous phase liquid	DNAPL	A, B, C
The application of commercial fertilizer to land	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of commercial fertilizer	Chemical	A
The application of pesticide to land	Chemical	A
The handling and storage of pesticide	Chemical	A
Number of occurrences of significant prescribed drinking water threats		25
Total number of locations of significant prescribed drinking water threats		21*

\*some parcels may have more than one activity occurring

## 7.2.25 Threats in HVA and SGRA

Table 7-5 to Table 7-8 indicate the number of locations where *significant threats* could occur in the *vulnerable areas* of the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area based on current land use. Due to the low to moderate vulnerability scoring of the *HVA* and *SGRA*, it is not possible to have *significant threats* in these *vulnerable areas*. It is possible however to have low and moderate levels of chemical *threats*, including *dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs)*, for a vulnerability score of 6 in *HVA* and *SGRA*. Map 4-3-2 and 4-2-1 show the *HVA* and *SGRA* delineations respectively in the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area. Map 4-2-2 shows the vulnerability scores of the delineated *SGRA*. Table 7-33 shows the levels of *threats* that could occur in these *vulnerable areas*. Refer to Appendix 10 for detailed lists of moderate or low *threats* and the *circumstances* under which they occur.

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

**Table 7-33 Levels of Threats Related to Pathogens, Chemicals and DNAPLs in HVAs and SGRAs**

Vulnerable Area	Vulnerability Score	Level of Threat for Activities Related to Pathogens			Level of Threat for Activities Related to Chemicals			Level of Threat for Activities Related to DNAPLs		
		Significant	Moderate	Low	Significant	Moderate	Low	Significant	Moderate	Low
HVA	6	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
SGRA	6	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
SGRA	4	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
SGRA	2	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

## 7.3 Tier 2 Risk Assessment

A tier 2, or site-specific, *risk* assessment to confirm the number of locations at which *significant threats* occur, would be conducted while developing the source protection plans, if needed. As part of the consultation on this assessment report, those who are believed to be engaging in a *significant threat* will be notified. This will allow their participation in the tier 2 *risk* assessment. The tier 2 work involves the examination of land use *activities* and the *circumstances* under which they are undertaken, through site visits and discussions with the landowners. The outcome of the tier 2 *risk* assessment will be part of a future Assessment Report.

## 7.4 Data Gaps

A preliminary investigation has been completed to determine if there are any *conditions*. A couple of potential *conditions* in the Upper Thames River Source Protection Area are being considered. If more information becomes available to the SPC to identify and assess *conditions* for potential *threats*, this work would be part of a subsequent Assessment Report.

If a drinking water quality *issue* is identified at a well or intake as per Rule 114 and is known to be partially or wholly due to *anthropogenic* causes, the area and the activity contributing to a drinking water quality *issue* must also be identified as per Rule 115. In the Upper Thames River SPA, some of the *issues* are naturally occurring and are therefore understood to not be subject to Rule 115. The sources or causes of the rest of the *issues* are yet to be determined. If more information becomes available to the SPC it may be possible to determine the source or cause of an *issue*. If it is determined necessary to conduct the work (to identify the area and activities

# Upper Thames River Source Protection Area Assessment Report

---

contributing to the *issue*, as per Rules 114 and 115), that work would be included in a subsequent assessment report.