



October 10, 2008

Thames, Sydenham and Region Source Protection Committee
c/o Chris Tasker
Source Protection Project Manager
Upper Thames River Conservation Authority
1424 Clarke Road
London, ON N5V 5B9
Fax: 519-451-1188
E-mail: taskerc@thamesriver.on.ca

Dear Thames, Sydenham and Region Source Protection Committee,

RE: Elements to be considered for inclusion in the Thames, Sydenham and Region Source Protection Region's Terms of Reference

Ontario Regulation 287/07 sets out the content requirements for the Terms of Reference ("TOR") which you and all other Source Protection Committees across the province are developing.

However, an important element of the *Clean Water Act, 2006* ("CWA") is the ability of a Source Protection Committee to address both mandatory and discretionary issues through its TOR. Certain potential threats to sources of drinking water may be unique to a particular Source Protection Area or Region, or may pose localized transboundary impacts within adjoining Areas or Regions. Other threats to source water could emerge in the future, and existing water quality/quantity problems could worsen; both of these possibilities warrant prudent and forward-looking decision-making under the CWA – decision-making that is based on a precautionary approach. In addition, the strength of the TOR, and ultimately that of the Assessment Reports and Source Protection Plans which will follow, would be increased by incorporating various forms of community-based information and local (i.e. non-scientific) knowledge into the source protection planning process.

The Canadian Environmental Law Association and Environmental Defence would like to suggest a number of discretionary issues for you to consider for inclusion in your TOR.

- Provisions should be made for incorporating local knowledge and aboriginal traditional knowledge into the source protection process. People who have lived in an area for a long time may have knowledge of obscure but potential threats to source water, such as abandoned wells or old or unlicensed private waste disposal sites. Anglers and hunters may or might have come across potential threats to drinking water in the seldom-frequented areas where they enjoy their pastimes. Such knowledge should be sought out and used to complement the scientific work on source protection. We also encourage you to promote and facilitate community-member contributions of local knowledge and aboriginal traditional knowledge.

- First Nations communities have the right to make decisions about their participation in Source Protection Areas or Regions. There are specific provisions contained in the CWA providing for First Nations' seats on Source Protection Committees. First Nations communities in a Source Protection Area or Region are required by law to be briefed on the progress of the source protection process. In addition to this, the active participation of First Nations communities in the source protection process should be encouraged.

A First Nations community can have its drinking-water system designated under the CWA through the passing of a resolution of a band council. Councils should be briefed of this right.

- Potential threats to source water can be addressed through guiding principles, the most notable of which is the precautionary principle. The precautionary principle should be expressly entrenched in the TOR. A lack of scientific certainty should not be permitted to delay or preclude policies intended to address potentially significant threats to drinking water.
- Known or suspected threats to sources of drinking water, including threats with direct, indirect and cumulative impacts from point and non-point sources, should be identified and evaluated during the development of the Assessment Report. Such threats can then be mitigated through the application of your Source Protection Plan.
- All cross-regional issues should be discussed. The listing of transboundary issues that necessitate consultation with other specified Source Protection Committees, is a required element of the TOR. In your Region's draft proposed TOR all known transboundary issues are listed. More however could be included. The relevant planning area should be defined, evaluation guidelines should be drawn up, and the parties that will be undertaking an evaluation need to be identified. Examples of cross-regional issues include the Great Lakes, where more than one Area's or Region's systems draw their water from lake surface water and there is a potential of overlap of Total Water Contributing Areas; inter-watershed transfers; headwaters; non-point-source contamination such as that which results from the application of road salts and pesticides; and climate change.
- The Ministry of the Environment or Conservation Authorities could be asked to provide lists of all drinking-water systems in your Region, under the *Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002*. These could include both municipal and non-municipal systems (such as those serving schools and community and daycare centres).

A TOR should not be considered finalized until all systems have been considered for inclusion.

An explicit part of the public discussion on the TOR should centre on which non-municipal systems under the *Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002*, should be considered priorities for elevation. Examples of priority non-municipal systems are systems of six or more households on one well, and systems serving children.

- Pending further direction from the MOE, priorities should be made for designating clusters for inclusion in the Source Protection Plan. A cluster is a community on six or more private wells where water is drawn from the same aquifer or surface-water source.
- An executive summary of the TOR should be prepared with a public audience in mind. Items that would benefit from public participation, such as prioritizing systems for elevation, and those which are dependent on public participation, such as the volunteering of local knowledge or aboriginal traditional knowledge, should be mentioned in the executive summary.

We would like to thank you for this opportunity to participate in the development of your TOR.

Yours sincerely,



Christopher Waffle
Source Protection Campaigner
Canadian Environmental Law Association



Mike Layton
Program Coordinator
Environmental Defence

Source Protection Region	Link to Online Draft TOR	Mission Statement	Executive Summary	Precautionary Principle	Comprehensiveness of Transboundary Issue Planning	Scientific Data Collecting, Modelling and Analysis
AUSABLE BAYFIELD MAITLAND VALLEY Draft Proposed TOR April 24, 2008	http://www.sourcewaterinfo.on.ca/images/uploaded/uploadsDownloads/Complete_ToR_07_May_08.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	On p.19 there is a brief discussion of the need for transboundary management.	Good progress.
CATARAQUI Proposed TOR for Source Protection Planning Draft for Public Consultation April 24, 2008	http://www.cataraqueiregion.on.ca/management/CataraqueiDWSPTOR_draftapril08text.pdf	Yes.	No.	Yes. In the mission statement.	On p.21 there is a discussion of how municipalities that span more than one Region will require trans-watershed management.	Satisfactory progress.
CTC Draft Proposed TOR Toronto and Region Source Protection Area July 2008	http://www.ctcswp.ca/docs/Attachment3.pdf	Yes. Ojectives and outcomes listed.	Yes.	Yes.	Yes. Matters affecting other SPAs addressed in Appendix E.	Satisfactory progress.
Draft Proposed TOR Credit Valley Source Protection Area July 2008	http://www.ctcswp.ca/docs/Attachment4.pdf	Yes. Ojectives and outcomes listed.	Yes.	Yes.	Yes. Matters affecting other SPAs addressed in Appendix E.	Satisfactory progress.
Draft Proposed TOR Central Lake Ontario Source Protection Area July 2008	http://www.ctcswp.ca/docs/Attachment5.pdf	Yes. Ojectives and outcomes listed.	Yes.	Yes.	Yes. Matters affecting other SPAs addressed in Appendix E.	Satisfactory progress.
ESSEX Draft Proposed TOR Second Draft May 2008	http://www.essexregionsourcewater.org/downloads/terms_of_reference.pdf	No.	Yes.	Sort of. In the introduction: 'The [CWA] is a science-based approach which is inherently precautionary.' p.8: 'The scientific research underway to support [SPPs] employs the precautionary principle.'	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory progress.
HALTON-HAMILTON Draft Proposed TOR Halton Region Source Protection Area May 26, 2008	http://www.protectingwater.ca/uploads/HHSWP_TOR_DOC_HALTON_080526.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory progress.
Draft Proposed TOR Hamilton Region Source Protection Area May 26, 2008	http://www.protectingwater.ca/uploads/HHSWP_TOR_DOC_HAMILTON_080526.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory progress.
LAKE ERIE (These drafts NOT their proposed TOR) Draft 1 TOR Cattfish Creek Source Protection Area September 2008	http://www.sourcewater.ca/swp_watersheds_cattfish/TOR_cattfish.pdf	Yes. Objectives and outcomes.	Yes.	Yes. A precautionary approach is mentioned on p. 2	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory progress.
Draft Proposed TOR Grand River Source Protection Area September 2008	http://www.sourcewater.ca/swp_watersheds_Grand/TOR_Grand.pdf	Yes. Objectives and outcomes.	Yes.	Yes. A precautionary approach is mentioned on p. 2	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory progress.
Draft 1 TOR Kettle Creek Source Protection Area September 2008	http://www.sourcewater.ca/swp_watersheds_kettle/TOR_kettle.pdf	Yes. Objectives and outcomes.	Yes.	Yes. A precautionary approach is mentioned on p. 2	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory progress.
Draft 1 TOR Long Point Region Source Protection Area September 2008	http://www.sourcewater.ca/swp_watersheds_longpoint/TOR_Longpoint.pdf	Yes. Objectives and outcomes.	Yes.	Yes. A precautionary approach is mentioned on p. 6	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory progress.
LAKEHEAD Draft Proposed TOR for the Lakehead Source Protection Area June 20, 2008	http://www.sourceprotection.net/images/tor_draft_proposed_doc.pdf	No.	No.	No.	n.a. as no adjacent Source Protection Areas or Regions.	Satisfactory progress.
MATTAGAMI Draft TOR May 23, 2008	http://mrca.timmins.ca/swp/uploads/842e4e02-c232-ddfb.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	No discussion of transboundary issues.	Satisfactory Progress.
MISSISSIPPI-RIDEAU TOR Preliminary Draft version 2.0 Mississippi Valley Source Protection Area April 21, 2008	http://www.mrsourwater.ca/tor/pdf/TOR-MVSPA-DraftForPublic.pdf	No.	Yes.	p.7: 'The scientific research underway to support [Source Protection Plans] employs the precautionary principle.'	On p.23 there is a passage on how dealing with flow between watersheds, and aquifers that straddle a watershed boundary, will require cooperation between SPCs.	Satisfactory Progress.
TOR Preliminary Draft version 2.0 Rideau Valley Source Protection Area April 21, 2008	http://www.mrsourwater.ca/tor/pdf/TOR-RVSPA-DraftForPublic.pdf	No.	Yes.	Same entry as Mississippi Valley Source Protection Area.	On p.23, same entry as Mississippi Valley Source Protection Area.	Satisfactory Progress.
NIAGARA PENINSULA Draft of Proposed TOR May 20, 2008	http://www.sourceprotection-niagara.ca/pdf/Draft_of_Proposed_TOR_2008_05_20.pdf	No.	No.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.

Source Protection Region	Identification, Evaluation, Mitigation of Known, Suspected Threats to SW	Provisions for Priority Non-Municipal Systems	Provisions for Clusters	Local Knowledge and Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge	First Nations Participation	Total Estimated Costs under the Assessment Report and Source Protection Plan
AUSABLE BAYFIELD MAITLAND VALLEY Draft Proposed TOR April 24, 2008	To be determined for the Assessment Report.	'At present, there have been no requests to elevate (include) these [systems] within the [TOR].' p.12	Clusters mentioned on p.14 as non-municipal systems that can be included in the source protection process.	No mention.	Minimal, although SPC has FN representation - Bob Bresette There has been an indication that Kettle Point First Nation would like to pursue the possibility of inclusion within the study.' p.12	\$6,035,000
CATARAQUI Proposed TOR for Source Protection Planning Draft for Public Consultation April 24, 2008	To be determined for the Assessment Report.	Yes, p.9. Potential elevating left open for the future.	Yes, p.9. Potential elevating left open for the future.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	No mention.
CTC Draft Proposed TOR Toronto and Region Source Protection Area July 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Yes. Examples of such systems given on p. 1.	Yes. Potential for elevation mentioned on p. 1.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$8,489,075 Total for Region: \$12,535,490
Draft Proposed TOR Credit Valley Source Protection Area July 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Yes. Examples of such systems given on p. 3.	Yes. Potential for elevation mentioned on p. 3.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$1,725,540
Draft Proposed TOR Central Lake Ontario Source Protection Area July 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Yes. Examples of such systems given on p. 8.	Yes. Potential for elevation mentioned on p. 8.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$2,320,875
ESSEX Draft Proposed TOR Second Draft May 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Supposedly there are very few of these systems in Essex. Only considerable number are in Pelee Township, which has not yet considered having its systems in SP planning.	See non-municipal systems entry for Essex.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$9,803,000
HALTON-HAMILTON Draft Proposed TOR Halton Region Source Protection Area May 26, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No specific examples, but all possible systems - that can be included in a Terms of Reference - are listed on p.22.	Clusters mentioned on p.22 as non-municipal systems that can be included in a Terms of Reference.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$4,581,200
Draft Proposed TOR Hamilton Region Source Protection Area May 26, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No specific examples, but all possible systems - that can be included in a Terms of Reference - are listed on p.22.	Clusters mentioned on p.22 as non-municipal systems that can be included in a Terms of Reference.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$3,983,100 Total for Region: \$8,564,300
LAKE ERIE (These drafts NOT their proposed TOR) Draft 1 TOR Catfish Creek Source Protection Area September 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Yes, p. 2.	Yes, p. 2.	No mention.	Bryan Laforme is the Band Council Chief of the Mississaugas. Two seats on the Lake Erie SPC are available to the Six Nations Band Council.	Total for Region: \$25,192,000 \$578,500
Draft Proposed TOR Grand River Source Protection Area September 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Yes, p. 2.	Yes, p. 2.	No mention.	Hagersville and Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation mentioned as potential participant in SP process.	\$17,936,700
Draft 1 TOR Kettle Creek Source Protection Area September 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Yes, p. 2.	Yes, p. 2.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$1,091,600
Draft 1 TOR Long Point Region Source Protection Area September 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Yes, p. 6.	Yes, p. 6.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$5,585,200
LAKEHEAD Draft Proposed TOR for the Lakehead Source Protection Area June 20, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No non-municipal systems listed as having been included by municipal council resolution.	No mention.	No mention.	Fort William First Nation in the Area. No representative on the SPC at present. Reserve's drinking-water system could be elevated.	\$4,785,177
MATTAGAMI Draft TOR May 23, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Mention of 'Other drinking water systems...' and the fact that there are two non-municipal systems in the Region. It is stated though that such systems can be included in the SP planning process.	Clusters mentioned on p.13 as non-municipal systems that can be included in the source protection process.	No mention.	Possibility of FN systems designation mentioned on p.13. Chris McKay is FN representative on the SPC.	\$3,865,742
MISSISSIPPI-RIDEAU TOR Preliminary Draft version 2.0 Mississippi Valley Source Protection Area April 21, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	'...municipal councils [can] pass resolutions requiring "other" types of drinking water systems to be included in source protection planning [under the CWA] All types of systems are then listed. p.22.	See non-municipal entry for Mississippi Valley.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	Total for Region: \$9,174,123
TOR Preliminary Draft version 2.0 Rideau Valley Source Protection Area April 21, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	See non-municipal entry for Mississippi Valley.	See non-municipal entry for Mississippi Valley.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	
NIAGARA PENINSULA Draft of Proposed TOR May 20, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No mention.	No mention.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$5,836,994

Source Protection Region	Link to Online Draft TOR	Mission Statement	Executive Summary	Precautionary Principle	Comprehensiveness of Transboundary Issue Planning	Scientific Data Collecting, Modelling and Analysis
GREATER SUDBURY Draft Proposed TOR May 22, 2008	http://www.nickeldistrict.ca/SWP%20Web/English/NewsEvents/Articles/Draft%20ProposedTORwebMay22.pdf	No.	No.	No.	It is mentioned that list still needs to be compiled.	Satisfactory Progress.
NORTH BAY-MATTAWA (Draft) TOR May 2, 2008	http://www.nbmc.ca/site/docs/Final%20TOR-Draft-North%20Bay%20-%20Mattawa%20Source%20Protect.pdf	No.	No.	No.	No discussion of transboundary issues. However, this Source Protection Area is not adjacent to any other Area or Region.	Satisfactory Progress.
QUINTE Draft Proposed TOR, Version 0.3 May 2008	http://quintewater.ca/site/images/stories/pdfs/draft%20quinte%20spr%20tor%20version%200.3.pdf	Yes.	Yes.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.
RAISIN REGION SOUTH NATION TOR not yet released.						
SAUGEEN, GREY SAUBLE, NORTHERN BRUCE PENINSULA Draft TOR for Saugeen Valley Source Protection Area May 23, 2008	http://www.waterprotection.ca/plan/ToR_Draft_Proposed-Saugeen_Valley_SPA_May_23_08.pdf	No.	No. There is however a twenty-seven-page summary document for the three Areas' TOR.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.
Draft TOR for Grey Sauble Source Protection Area May 23, 2008	http://www.waterprotection.ca/plan/ToR_Draft_Proposed-Grey_Sauble_SPA_May_23_08.pdf	No.	See entry for Saugeen Valley.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.
Draft TOR for Northern Bruce Peninsula Source Protection Area May 23, 2008	http://www.waterprotection.ca/plan/ToR_Draft_Proposed-Northern_Bruce_Peninsula_SPA_May_23_08.pdf	No.	See entry for Saugeen Valley.	No.	No discussion of transboundary issues. However, this Source Protection Area is adjacent only to Grey Sauble Source Protection Area.	Satisfactory Progress.
SAULT STE MARIE Draft Proposed TOR May 20, 2008	http://www.ssmrca.ca/UserFiles/File/PDFs/SPC/Draft%20Terms%20of%20Reference_May%2016_final3.pdf	No.	No.	No.	No discussion of transboundary issues. The SPC listed such issues as n.a.	Satisfactory Progress.
SOUTH GEORGIAN BAY LAKE SIMCOE Draft 1 TOR Lake Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River Source Protection Area Undated	http://www.ourwatershed.ca/assets/pdfs/LSCBR_TOR_Apr%2030-08.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.
Draft 1 TOR Nottawasaga Valley Source Protection Area Undated	http://www.ourwatershed.ca/assets/pdfs/NVSPA_TOR_Apr%2030-08.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.
Draft 1 TOR Severn Sound Source Protection Area Undated	http://www.ourwatershed.ca/assets/pdfs/SSSPA_TOR_Apr%2030-08.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	None listed but not adjacent to any other SP Areas or Regions (apart from Black River).	Satisfactory Progress.
THAMES-SYDENHAM AND REGION Draft Proposed TOR August 12, 2008	http://www.sourcewaterprotection.on.ca/SPC_ToR.htm	Yes.	No.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.
TRENT Draft TOR Crowe Valley, Kawartha-Haliburton, Lower Trent, and Otonabee-Peterborough Source Protection Area May 15, 2008	http://www.trentsourceprotection.on.ca/Trent%20River%20SPAs-DRAFT%20Proposed%20ToR-May%2015,2008.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.
Proposed TOR Ganaraska Region Source Protection Area May 15, 2008	http://www.trentsourceprotection.on.ca/Ganaraska%20Region%20SPA-DRAFT%20Proposed%20ToR-May%2015,2008.pdf	No.	Yes.	No.	All known transboundary issues are listed.	Satisfactory Progress.

Source Protection Region	Identification, Evaluation, Mitigation of Known, Suspected Threats to SW	Provisions for Priority Non-Municipal Systems	Provisions for Clusters	Local Knowledge and Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge	First Nations Participation	Total Estimated Costs under the Assessment Report and Source Protection Plan
GREATER SUDBURY Draft Proposed TOR May 22, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No mention.	Mention of 'lake clusters', but no definition of what these are.	No mention.	Both the Wahnapitae and the Whitefish Lake First Nations have expressed interest in the SP process. Whitefish Lake FN have decided to undergo a pre-screening survey of their drinking-water system. Two representatives on the SPC, one for each FN.	\$6,698,717
NORTH BAY-MATTAWA (Draft) TOR May 2, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No mention.	A cluster, Trout Creek, has already been selected for elevation.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$4,077,316.65
QUINTE Draft Proposed TOR, Version 0.3 May 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	'The [CWA] allows the Minister of the Environment, municipalities and First Nations to include (elevate) other systems into the Source Protection Planning process.' p.14.	See non-municipal entry for Quinte.	In the mission statement.	Two FN representatives on the SPC, Terry Shea and Tood Kring of the Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte. Mentioned throughout that FN will be involved in the SP process.	\$7,156,461
RAISIN REGION SOUTH NATION TOR not yet released.						
SAUGEEN, GREY SAUBLE, NORTHERN BRUCE PENINSULA Draft TOR for Saugeen Valley Source Protection Area May 23, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No mention.	No mention.	No mention.	The Chippewas of Saugeen have had little involvement.	\$1,237,128
Draft TOR for Grey Sauble Source Protection Area May 23, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No mention.	No mention.	No mention.	The Chippewas of Nawash have had little involvement.	\$1,240,858
Draft TOR for Northern Bruce Peninsula Source Protection Area May 23, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No mention.	No mention.	No mention.	n.a. as no reserves.	\$140,700 (does not include estimate for Source Protection Plan) Total for Region: \$2,618,686
SAULT STE MARIE Draft Proposed TOR May 20, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	No mention.	No mention.	No mention.	Garden River and Batchewana First Nations are in the Area. Latter is on Sault Ste. Marie municipal drinking water system.	\$4,092,266
SOUTH GEORGIAN BAY LAKE SIMCOE Draft 1 TOR Lake Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River Source Protection Area Undated	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	Mentioned on p. 19. It is written that the decision is up to municipalities and First Nations.	See non-municipal systems entry for Lake Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River.	No mention.	FN representation on the SPC 'To be determined'. It is mentioned that FN can have their systems designated.	\$8,482,000
Draft 1 TOR Nottawasaga Valley Source Protection Area Undated	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	See non-municipal systems entry for Lake Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River.	See non-municipal systems entry for Lake Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River.	No mention.	It is mentioned that FN can have their systems designated.	\$5,004,400
Draft 1 TOR Severn Sound Source Protection Area Undated	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	See non-municipal systems entry for Lake Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River.	See non-municipal systems entry for Lake Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River.	No mention.	It is mentioned that FN can have their systems designated.	\$4,685,600 Total for Region: \$18,172,000
THAMES-SYDENHAM AND REGION Draft Proposed TOR August 12, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	On p. 31 it is written that municipalities hold off on elevating non-municipal systems till the province has provided guidance on the issue.	See non-municipal systems entry for Thames, etc.	No mention.	FN communities identified. It is mentioned that the Committee must engage FN in the process.	\$15,268,148.61
TRENT Draft TOR Crowe Valley, Kawartha-Haliburton, Lower Trent, and Otonabee-Peterborough Source Protection Area May 15, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	p.5: 'The [CWA] allows for other systems to be considered.' All types of systems are then listed.	See non-municipal entry for first Trent Area.	No mention.	Tracey Taylor from Curve Lake and Pam Crowe from Alderville FNs are on the SPC. A third FN seat is vacant at present. Four FNs and seven reserves in Trent. All are identified.	\$13,749,082 Total for Region: \$17,339,364
Proposed TOR Ganaraska Region Source Protection Area May 15, 2008	To be determined in the Assessment Report.	See non-municipal entry for first Trent Area.	See non-municipal entry for first Trent Area.	No mention.	No reserves in this Area.	\$3,590,282