

Municipality of Strathroy-Caradoc

Regular Council Minutes Monday, July 6, 2009 Strathroy-Caradoc Municipal Office

6:30 p.m.

In-Camera session

1. Roll Call. Cell Phones/Pagers Off

All members of Council are present.

Staff:

Jim Fairlie, Chief Administrative Officer
Angela Toth, Director of Corporate Services/Clerk

2. Declaration of Pecuniary Interest – None.

3. In-Camera Matters

Moved by Councillor Richards and Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden:

THAT: Council moves In-Camera to discuss Personal and Property matters. **Carried.**

Personal and property matters were discussed.

Moved by Councillors Richards and Gysbers:

THAT: Council concludes the In-Camera session and proceeds to the Open Council meeting. **Carried.**

Open Council – 7:00 p.m.

1. Roll Call. Cell Phones/Pagers Off

All members of Council are present.

Also Present:

Jim Fairlie, Chief Administrative Officer
Angela Toth, Director, Corporate Services/Clerk
Mary Weber, Assistant to Corporate Services/Deputy-Clerk
Paul Hicks, Planner
Cathy Kohlsmith, P.M. #2
Bob Stratford, Engineer for Hampton Group

Frank Colozza, Hydrogeologist, JFM Environmental Limited
 Larry Gigun, Spriet Associates
 Mark and Kim Hornyak
 Mr. and Mrs. Joe Delaronde
 Lisa Plancke, Project Co-ordinator, Wright Family Arts & Entertainment Centre
 Lynda Henderson and Interested parties for the Wright Family Arts & Entertainment Centre
 Glenn Houghton, Age Dispatch

2. Declaration of Pecuniary Interest – None.

3. Approval of Minutes

Moved by Councillors Baker and Richards:

THAT: *the following **minutes** be approved as written.*
Carried.

a.) *Regular Council Minutes – June 15, 2009*

4. Public Meeting

Public Meeting No. 1

Moved by Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden and Councillor Gysbers:
THAT: Public Meeting No. 1 for an amendment to Strathroy-Caradoc Zoning Bylaw 43-08, for property located at 611 Saulsbury Street, Strathroy, applicant Harry and Evelyn Zekveld, be hereby opened. **Carried.**

A report from Planner Paul Hicks was provided.

1. Purpose for the Meeting – To consider a Rezoning Application, re 611 Saulsbury Street, Strathroy. This application is to permit the rezoning of a lot from the "Future Development" Zone to the "Low Density Residential (R1)" Zone.

Mr. Hicks stated that this is a rezoning application that is a condition of consent granted by the Committee of Adjustment. It was for a severance of a lot near the intersection of Dominion and Saulsbury across the street from the Trillium Village Complex. The applicants were seeking to sever 1 acre of land that contains an existing dwelling on it from the balance of a larger farm parcel of about 20 acres in size.

The proposed rezoning which was placed by the Committee of Adjustment would turn the zoning from Future Development Zone into a Low Density Residential or an R1 zone.

Unfortunately in this particular application Mr. Hicks stated that he was not able to make a positive recommendation to the

committee on the consent nor was able to make a positive recommendation on the rezoning.

Mr. Hicks stated reasons being that the property is on private services. While it is an existing residence it is serviced by an on site septic system and an on site water supply system. Our Official Plan does require that development be on full services and, the second reason being the lot size being an acre in size was not in the opinion that it was an efficient use of land especially being in side the urban boundaries of Strathroy and that there was a more efficient use of land that could take place. This was granted by the Committee of Adjustment and they did place the rezoning as a condition of consent.

2. Comments in support of this zoning amendment –
None.

3. Comments in opposition of this zoning amendment –
None.

4. Questions or comments from members of Council.

Councillor Brennan:

Enquired that the proposal goes against the Official Plan for Strathroy-Caradoc? Mayor Veale advised that it does as the Planner sees it.

Councillor Brennan stated that we have two members of Council on the Committee of Adjustment who voted for the Official Plan, and looking for an explanation of why those two members of Council voted for something that is against the Official Plan.

Mayor Veale stated that he voted in favour of the application because the applicants came forward and asked for a severance to sever the acre of land for the Minister. The conditions that the Committee of Adjustment put in place was that when services came by Saulsbury Street or by the back yard for a subdivision approval they would hook up at that time.

Deputy Mayor Vanderheyden:

Enquired as to who owns the property. Mayor Veale stated that three people own the property. The house is part of the 20 acres, and the applicants decided to sever off an acre for the Minister.

Councillor Brennan:

Councillor Brennan stated that the establishment of a one acre lot inside the urban boundary that could cause problems down the road when land is sold and resold.

You have a large house on a one acre lot and the land around it is going to be a plan of subdivision, you are going to have

someone complaining that there are going to be small lots backing on to their big lot, and this is troubling.

Mayor Veale stated that when looked at this matter it was stated that he may end up severing two or three lots off as part of a plan of subdivision.

Councillor Pelkman:

Enquired as to why the acre? Would the Planner have felt that it was a better efficient use of land if it was a ½ acre or a smaller lot?

Mayor Veale stated that they took the existing border lines that were already in place. Mr. Hicks stated that it is simply the set back of the house and the outer buildings and once contained within you have a one acre property.

Councillor Pelkman enquired if there was a possibility that this property could become land locked. Mayor Veale stated no as the one acre property was on the corner of the property.

Councillor Brennan:

Enquired on the date of the Committee of Adjustment meeting when this matter was discussed, and was advised by Mr. Hicks that it was at the May meeting.

Councillor Brennan enquired on the appeal date, and it was stated that it was 20 days.

Essentially the recommendation of the Committee of Adjustment will stand because the appeal period is over. Mr. Hicks stated that there is a second appeal period which is attached to this application. The trouble that arises is the application for the severance and the application for rezoning are part and parcel with each other, they are two applications but two issues. If Council in this instance were to turn down the application for rezoning and the applicants were to appeal that to the Ontario Municipal Board the municipality would not be seen in a very favourable light because they did not appeal the decision on the consent. The Council only turned down the rezoning.

Council Brennan stated that should this Council be receiving a report from the Planner within the appeal period when he has been over ruled by Committee of Adjustment? Mr. Hicks stated that the minutes from the Committee of Adjustment did have the decision from the Committee and these minutes were before Council before the appeal period, but the Planner has never received instruction to report to Council on such a situation.

Councillor Brennan stated that one recommendation that could come out of this situation would be that in addition to the minutes from the Committee of Adjustment that when the Committee of Adjustment over rules the Planner, especially when going against the Official Plan of the municipality that Council have a report from the Planner. It seems that we are learning a lot too late.

Councillor Pelkman stated that he agreed with Councillor Brennan. We read a lot of minutes and did not realize that we were putting ourselves in an awkward position by not appealing that decision.

Deputy Mayor Vanderheyden stated that the chance to over rule the decision is when the minutes come to Council. Not wise to over turn the decision at this point.

Councillor Baker:

Councillor Baker enquired what this would do tonight would leave the rest of the parcel future development or rezoning the whole package? Mr. Hicks stated that it is a 20 acre parcel in total so one acre that would be rezoned to low density residential and the balance 19 acres would remain in a future development zone.

Councillor Gysbers:

Councillor Gysbers stated that under the provincial policy statement we are allowed to approve different housing types, and enquired as to would that also be different sizes of lots including a one acre inside an urban area?

Mr. Hicks stated that the provincial policy statement does contain a provision about providing a variety of house types to meet a variety of price levels so it does not specify that you have to produce so many one acre lots just a blanket statement that yes we are to provide a variety of housing types to meet a variety of needs and variety of income levels.

Councillor Baker:

Enquired that the proposed property owner would agree to full servicing should it come by? Mayor Veale stated that it was in the report as one of the conditions of the Committee of Adjustment. It is in the agreement that when full services come to Saulsbury Street they will hook up.

Councillor Brennan:

Councillor Brennan stated that independent of the details in this particular matter, not very comfortable on what is happening here. The Committee of Adjustment has made recommendation

that has over turned the planner and goes against our Official Plan. There are members of Council on that particular committee and Council is suppose to protect the Official Plan but because the way matters have worked out here we are in a situation now were the Official Plan is essentially going to be violated due to particular circumstances and do not want to see this happen again. When Committee of Adjustment over rules the Planner especially in matters were the Official Plan is being violated that there be a report produced immediately by staff that goes to Council and that we have a meeting within that 20 day appeal period so that Council can meet and adjudicate whether the Official Plan needs to be protected. Secondly if it is the function of Council to protect the Official Plan then we should re-examine whether or not members of Council should be on Committee of Adjustment because the place for Council to rule on that is here. I would make the recommendation that members of Council do not need to be on Committee of Adjustment. Maybe we should examine this in our committee structure.

Deputy Mayor Vanderheyden:

Agreed that we should not go against our Official Plan, however, we did have an opportunity to speak to this at the meeting that we had the minutes come forward from the Committee of Adjustment. That is when we had the opportunity to disagree/agree. Whether we have people on the committee from Council or not does not bare to that, we had an opportunity to speak to it at that time.

Councillor Baker:

It is about the process, when we get these minutes that we read them and perhaps in future if there is a decision made by committee that goes against staff recommendation that we get the whole report with the minutes.

Mayor Veale stated that the Committee of Adjustment soundly felt that they were doing the right thing.

5. Decision.

Moved by Councillors Dausett and Richards:

THAT: *the application to rezone lands on 611 Saulsbury Street from "Future Development (FD)" Zone to the "Low Density Residential (R1)" Zone be approved, and that the bylaw be referred to the "Bylaws" section this evening for approval. Carried.*

6. Motion to Close Public Meeting.

Moved by Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden and Councillor Pelkman:

THAT: Public Meeting No. 1 for an amendment to Strathroy-Caradoc Zoning Bylaw 43-08, for property located at 611 Saulsbury Street, Strathroy, applicant Harry and Evelyn Zekveld, be hereby closed. **Carried.**

Public Meeting No. 2

Moved by Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden and Councillor Dausett:
THAT: Public Meeting No. 2 for an amendment to Strathroy-Caradoc Zoning Bylaw 43-08, for property located at 24513 Adelaide Road, Strathroy, applicant Bethel Baptist Church, be hereby opened. **Carried.**

A report from Planner Paul Hicks was provided.
 Two submissions from the Public were received.

1. Purpose for the Meeting – To consider a Rezoning Application, re 24513 Adelaide Road, R.R. #2 Strathroy.
 This application is to permit the rezoning of a lot from "General Agricultural (A1)" and "Future Development (FD)" Zone to an "Institutional (I)" Zone.

Mr. Hicks stated that this is an application submitted by Bethel Baptist Church.

These lands are located in the area of Strathroy, now in the urban boundary of Strathroy, south of the 10th concession. These were lands that when our Official Plan was approved the County of Middlesex deferred their decision on them. The owners of the land hired a planning consultant to do additional background research and the consultant came forward with a revised background study and proposal. The County of Middlesex accepted the work that had been done and therefore lifted their deferral and changed the designation on a portion of these lands from a combination of highway commercial and residential and put them into a community facilities zone which is basically Strathroy-Caradoc's version of an institutional zone. This was done to facilitate the relocation of the applicants congregation of the church to this location sometime in the future. At this time the applicant is seeking a rezoning on the community facilities portion of the designation to put it into an institutional zone. They are not seeking at this time to construct the church, they are looking at this time to construct soccer pitches that would be located on a portion of the lands.

Mr. Hicks stated that they are inside the urban boundary. This is an outdoor recreational use. As such my initial recommendation on this was to see that the application be approved subject to a holding symbol on the lands and this

would insure that once all the minor details of the development had been worked out such as entrances and parking that the holding symbol could then be lifted.

There were concerns raised by the County of Middlesex, St. Clair Region Conservation Authority and two neighbouring property owners. One particular property owner expressed a great deal of concern with the proposal. At this time with the applicants blessing notwithstanding the approval that is being proposed tonight in the report, Mr. Hicks suggested that Council defer the decision on the matter tonight and this will give an opportunity for myself, the applicant and the neighbouring property owner to sit down to see if there is a possibility of working out the differences and coming to an agreement on the matter. It may not happen.

2. Comments in support of this zoning amendment –
None.

3. Comments in opposition of this zoning amendment.

Cathy Kohlsmith, 24528 Adelaide Road:

Mrs. Kohlsmith stated that she did meet with Mr. Patton this afternoon, and presented her issues as well as some of the other neighbours she had spoken to. Mr. Patton presented what he was trying to do and we were not able to come to a conclusion that was satisfactory to both parties at that time.

Mrs. Kohlsmith was of the understanding that Mr. Patton would be coming this evening to speak to this issue and perhaps later on decided not to and has spoken to Mr. Hicks about deferring this issue to another time. Mrs. Kohlsmith was fine with that however if there is going to be a deferral and there is going to be discussions further down the road would certainly as well as for herself and the neighbours that are close to this property would like to receive Notice.

There has been decisions made previously that she did not know were up for discussion. Mrs. Kohlsmith stated that the last information that she received regarding this property was that it was deferred by the County. She had no idea that they were considering taking the future development off of the property and changing it to community facilities. Mrs. Kohlsmith stated that she did not even know that it had occurred. Should there have been Notice given to the same neighbours that were given of this Public Meeting at that time? The County of Middlesex had concerns but do not know what they are. Mr. Hicks stated that the concerns related mostly to site planning issues such as access out on to the County road. When it is brought forward again that everyone is going to receive notification. Mrs.

Kohlsmith requested to be notified by some other manner that is more direct.

4. Questions or comments from members of Council.

Councillor Baker:

Councillor Baker stated that assuming that some of their concerns are with the soccer pitches, the level of activity, the level of traffic that will be coming and going in what is otherwise fairly a rural area. What is the use of the soccer pitches, is it public use, how are they going to be utilized, what is the intent for the use of the soccer pitches? Mr. Hicks stated that Bethel Baptist Church enquired if the municipality was looking for any additional soccer pitches. Gave them the Director of Recreation to contact and discuss. What the church intends to do with the soccer pitches and what level of public use they would like to permit on them, not aware of.

Councillor Pardy:

Councillor Pardy enquired as to what is the criteria for lighting, washrooms, water control? See nothing about these areas, just zoning. All these should be discussed with the home owners before we go any further. Mr. Hicks stated that we will have an opportunity to do that. The initial recommendation was to put a holding symbol on the property to get those matters addressed.

Councillor Brennan:

Do you think if this came back to Council on August 10th, would there be enough time for all these discussions to take place then everyone would know when and where the meeting will take place and for Council to reconsider it? Mr. Hicks stated that the applicants would want to see any discussions take place as soon as possible. Propose that August 10th is the time to deal with this matter again. Mayor Veale suggested that if it can not be done by August 10th, can be dealt with later if we find that it is taking longer to put together between the parties.

Councillor Brennan stated that on August 10th we could discuss the matter, have a progress report from the Planner, then everyone can be here for that meeting, subject to advice from the Planner and consultation with the two parties involved.

Mr. Hicks stated that if no progress made by August 10th, report go to Council at that time. A month is plenty of time for the three parties to get together and to have a meeting to see if there is an agreement that can be reached.

Moved by Councillors Brennan and Pelkman:

THAT: *the rezoning for property located at 24513 Adelaide Road, applicant Bethel Baptist Church, be further discussed at*

the August 10th, 2009 Council meeting, subject to advice from the Planner and consultation with the parties involved. **Carried.**

5. Decision

Moved by Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden and Councillor Pelkman:

THAT: the application to rezone lands on 24513 Adelaide Road, Strathroy was deferred. **Carried.**

6. Motion to Close Public Meeting.

Moved by Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden and Councillor Dausett:

THAT: Public Meeting No. 2 for an amendment to Strathroy-Caradoc Zoning Bylaw 43-08, for property located at 24513 Adelaide Road, Strathroy, applicant Bethel Baptist Church, be hereby closed. **Carried.**

5. Presentations, Delegations & Petitions

a.) **Petition for Drainage Works**

Lots 12 and 13, Range 1 South, requiring drainage outlet to the Dolson Drain.

Moved by Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden and Councillor Pardy:

THAT: Spriet Associates be authorized to prepare a report on the area of Lots 12 and 13, Range 1 South requiring a drainage outlet to the Dolson Drain. **Carried.**

6. Communications

a.) Tania Mulligan, VON Middlesex

Re: Annual VON Great Community Walk

A communication outlining their walk routes was provided. Brad Dausett, Roads Manager, had no concerns regarding the routes as outlined.

Reference: for your information

b.) Charlie Milhomens, Portuguese Canadian Club of Strathroy

Re: Country Western Day Event

Moved by Councillors Brennan and Richards:

THAT: the communication received from the Portuguese Canadian Club of Strathroy advising as to holding a Country Western Day event be received and filed. **Carried.**

- c.) Melbourne Agricultural Society
Re: 1. Annual Melbourne Fair – August 14th, 15th and 16th, 2009 at the Melbourne Fairgrounds,
2. Annual Tractor Pull – August 8th, 2009,
3. Melbourne Motor Madness – July 18th, 2009

Moved by Councillors Gysbers and Pardy:

THAT: Council approves the requests of Melbourne Agricultural Society for events as follows:

- (1) Melbourne Motor Madness, July 18th, 2009;
 (2) Annual tractor pull, August 8th, 2009; and
 (3) Annual Melbourne Fair, August 14th, 15th and 16th, 2009 at the Melbourne Fairgrounds and that Council recognizes the annual Fair as a Community Festival and has no objection to an application to the L.C.B.O. to license this Community Festival event for the days and times noted in the letter dated July 2, 2009. **Carried.**

Items 1 – 8 are provided for the information of Council:

- 1.) BCF-CC Joint Secretariat
Re: Application under Intake Two of the Building Canada Fund, Communities Component – Construction of an Indoor Pool and Recreation Centre in Strathroy
 To inform the project was not approved for funding.
- 2.) Mark Gallagher, TCI Renewables
Re: Notice of Completion for the Environmental Assessment of the Proposed Adelaide Wind Farm
- 3.) **Re: Middlesex Providers Alliance June 17, 2009 Minutes**
- 4.) AMO
Re: Support for Inclusion of Animals in Municipal Emergency Plans
- 5.) John Leach, Clerk, Town of Innisfil
Re: request for resolution endorsement Harmonized Sales Tax Affects Electricity Rates
Moved by Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden and Councillor Richards:
THAT: a staff report on the affects of the Harmonized Sales Tax be forwarded to Finance. **Carried.**

- 6.) Ministry of Natural Resources
Re: Annual wildlife rabies control operations for 2009 on August 4th, 2009
- 7.) P. Marcucci, Electrical Safety Authority
Re: Advisory regarding identified public safety concerns with street lights.
- 8.) Bonnie Nistico-Dunk, Acting Clerk, City of Welland
Re: request for resolution endorsement re: Healthcare.

7. Unfinished Business

(a) Kensington Plan of Subdivision

Members will recall that ratepayers who attended the public meeting to discuss this plan of subdivision were advised that they would be provided with the Hydro geological Assessment and given an opportunity to comment.

Mr. Bob Stratford, Engineer for the Hampton Group for this project and Mr. Frank Colozza, Hydrogeologist, JFM Environmental Limited made the presentation.

- One of the issues raised previously dealt with impacts on ground water levels from the proposed development on a ground water table. As well as potential impacts on existing residence and the impact of the affects on water table to the proposed development itself.
- From a presentation board showed the existing ground, the design of the sanitary and storm sewers such that the outlet elevations require that the developer build up the existing ground so that can get all the lands into those sewers.
- Also wanted to avoid the water table from this development. All of the basements and foundation elevations are above the water table. Some get close but essentially above the water table. In the Queen Street existing homes those basements are situated to likely have the water impact these basements.
- Concluded that there is going to be very little if any impact to the water table because the development will not be in the water table itself.

Mayor Veale stated that he hoped our Engineer has reviewed this report and ready to make comment based on the report. Mr. Gigun advised that he had reviewed the report and looked at the designs and recognize they have to have a great deal of

fill to make the sewer system work effectively and by doing that all the basements are well above the water table elevations.

When the proposed plan of subdivision was last brought before this Council, Council requested more information from the applicant regarding two issues; (1) confirmation that the proposed homes will be built above the water table to ensure that this will not become an issue in the future, and (2) confirmation that the proposed development will not have a negative impact on the existing homes located along Queen Street and vicinity. At the same meeting Council committed to bringing this matter back before the area residents. At this time, a hydrogeological assessment report has been submitted to the municipality for review. The purpose for this meeting is to allow the applicants to present their findings to Council and ask questions regarding ground water and storm drainage. No further decision on this report or plan of subdivision will be made tonight because we have not had time to go over this report.

Kim Hornyak, 389 Queen Street, presented her prepared statement.

- Did not hear enough concerns from the presentation about the existing homes. I did hear some concerns from Council.
- Presented and reviewed the two page hand out.
- Concerns regarding the advisability of proceeding with Kensington Village in the absence of an adequate underground storm sewer system.

Joe Delaronde:

- What are the effects of the subdivision on ground water levels and how is this development going to impact our basements. The answer that came back was how was the ground water level going to affect the development.
- Study is not adequate, it does not address the issues in which we asked.
- The report does well for the developer but does not answer the question about the affect of the development on ground water and how is it going to affect our existing homes.

Moved by Councillors Pardy and Gysbers:

THAT: the hydrogeological assessment report for the Kensington Village Subdivision be reviewed by Spriet Associates and staff for a recommendation to Council or otherwise to engage a Hydrogeologist if further review is required. **Carried.**

(b) Mt. Brydges Sewers Project

Moved by Councillors Dausett and Gysbers:

That: the Municipality of Strathroy-Caradoc apply to Middlesex County Council for a loan in the amount of \$2,812,794. from their Middlesex County Infrastructure Fund in connection with the municipal portion of the Mount Brydges Sanitary Sewer Servicing project, and further that the loan will be used subject to Council's decision following the Public Meeting. **Carried.**

(c) The Wright Family Arts & Entertainment Center

Members will recall that this matter was referred to staff for review and recommendation. The following attachments were provided:

1. Letter from Staff to the Arts Council
2. Staff Report

Moved by Councillors Gysbers and Pelkman:

THAT: a Council Workshop to discuss the Wright Family Arts and Entertainment Centre Draft Business Plan be held to openly discuss this issue. **Carried.**

8. Reports from Departments.**9. Committee Reports**

Moved by Councillors Pardy and Baker:

THAT: the following **Committee Report** be received and filed. **Carried.**

- a.) Tri-Township Arena – June 11, 2009

Moved by Councillors Pardy and Richards:

THAT: the following **Committee Reports** be received and filed and that Council concurs with the Committee recommendations. **Carried.**

- b.) Transportation Services – June 18, 2009
- c.) Environmental Services – June 18, 2009
- d.) Finance – June 18, 2009

10. Reading of Bylaws

Moved by Councillors Baker and Gysbers:

THAT: the following bylaw be given first, second and third and final reading. **Carried.**

- a.) **Bylaw No. 36-09**

A Bylaw to authorize the execution of an agreement between the Township of Adelaide-Metcalfe and the Municipality of Strathroy-Caradoc for the construction of Transfer Station Facilities at 27782 Pike Road

Moved by Councillors Brennan and Pelkman:

THAT: *the following bylaw be given first, second and third and final reading. Carried.*

b.) **Bylaw No. 37-09**

A Bylaw to provide for the adoption of 2009 tax rates and to further provide for penalty and interest in default of payment.

Moved by Councillors Baker and Richards:

THAT: *the following bylaw be given first, second and third and final reading. Carried.*

c.) **Bylaw No. 38-09**

A Bylaw to amend Bylaw No. 43-08, Zoning Bylaw of the Municipality of Strathroy-Caradoc (611 Saulsbury St., Strathroy)

11. New Business

12. County Council Report

Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden highlighted on County Council matters.

- Discussed 5 year capital budget; tangible capital assets; and financing as regards to roads,
- Joint presentation on the Bayfield to Lobo pipeline project and possible routes.

13. Enquiries or Comments by Members

Councillor Pelkman:

- Thanked Deputy Mayor Vanderheyden on an excellent job speaking at the Mt. Brydges movie event on her memories surrounding Mt. Brydges,
- Mural looks great,
- In reference to the Wright Family Arts & Entertainment Center, intend to apply to the Ivey Connects Program were senior students from the Ivey School of Business look at projects and give their expertise and advice. Deadline is July 13th. Looking for every assistance.

Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden:

- A lot of things have happened in our municipality. Congratulations to all the volunteers who put in lots of time for Strathroy and Mt. Brydges events.

Councillor Parady:

- Canada Day presentations in Mt. Brydges, excellent day.

Councillor Brennan:

- Mike Couture and various organizations in Town did a great job in putting the Turkey Festival event together.

Councillor Baker:

- Any word on the status of the cross walk light on Caradoc St. Mayor Veale advised that the parts have not come in.

Councillor Gysbers:

- Great Canada Day event in Mt. Brydges.

Councillor Richards:

- Fireworks display held at the Gemini was excellent, congratulations to the group involved.

Mayor Veale:


- Turkey Festival was great. Mike Couture and the Committee did a great job.
- Hats off to Dave Switzer and the church group for the fireworks event.
- In Mt. Brydges recognized Al Lamont, Chair of the Del-Ko-Brydge Canada Day Committee for 28 years.
- Recognized Wilbert Link turning 100, and Bill Nagel turning 90
- In the last 5 years have been given 20,648,616.00 from both levels of government. Have been looked after quite well.

14. Schedule of Meetings

- Regular Council Meeting, Monday, July 20, 2009
- Regular Council Meeting, Monday, August 10, 2009
- Regular Council Meeting, Tuesday, September 8, 2009

15. Adjournment

On a motion by Deputy-Mayor Vanderheyden and Councillor Gysbers the meeting adjourned at 9:25 p.m.



 Mayor



 Clerk